EVALUATING TECHNICAL EFFICIENCY OF INSURANCE FIRMS OPERATING IN JORDAN AND PALESTINE

Mohammad Rida Hirzallah 1

Faculty of Graduate Studies, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine.

Mohammad.h96@hotmail.com

Abdulnaser Nour2 [0000-0001-8525-9799]

Professor in Accounting, Department of Accounting, Faculty of Economics and Social Sciences, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine a.nour@najah.edu

Gassan Daas 3

Assistance Professor in Accounting, Department of Accounting, Faculty of Economics and Social Sciences, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine

daas@najah.edu

Mahmood .I.Nour 4

A faculty member in the Faculty of Educational Sciences - Al-Quds Open University - Tulkarm Branch

Mnoor@gou.eduo

Abstract

The purpose of this research is to evaluate the technical efficiency of the insurance firms operating in Jordan and Palestine markets and examine the factors that affect this efficiency. Using a data of 26 insurance firms over the period 2016-2020, data envelopment analysis (DEA) was used to evaluate efficiency score, and panel data analysis was employed to identity the major factors affecting the technical efficiency of the listed insurance firms in Jordan and Palestine stocks exchange markets. The data envelopment analysis shows that the listed insurance firms in Palestine Exchange Market (PSE) are more efficient than those listed in Amman Stock Exchange Market (ASE). Panel data regression analysis shows that capital structure and firm size have both a negative effect on technical efficiency. However, both market share and ROA affect technical efficiency in a positive way. From these results management of firms could raise capital through issuing bonds instead of common stocks, and might not expand largely in company size without sufficient planning. Regularity body might issue laws and regulation which control how firms finance its assets in order to prevent an exaggerated increasing in firm's total assets, and control the competition in order to avoid illegal collusion between firms.

Key Words: Efficiency; technical efficiency; insurance; Jordan; Palestine; DEA.

1. Introduction

Brief about Palestine and Jordan

Insurance companies offer economies with outstanding financial services that are required for development and growth. Those services are varied enough to include a wide range of options, including the underwriting or risks involved in economic activities, the transfer of funds through premiums for long-term investments. Even more, the key role of insurers, which is mainly the absorption of risks, enhances the financial stability of financial markets and creates a peaceful atmosphere for economic entities. Without insurance, businesses would be unsustainable given that businesses classified as risky might not be able to take responsibility of any risk in a constantly changing global economy (Tuffour, Boateng, Ohemeng, & Akuaku, 2021). Insurance companies offer a variety of contracts to the insured to cover any potentially incurred damage. They issue documents that contain the premium that has to be paid by the insured in exchange of the insurer's coverage. Those companies are then committed to compensate the insured against damage once it takes place. It was at this stage that countries from all over the world started to gradually notice the significance of this sector. They were also inspired to begin supervising the firms that operate in this field

through the enactment of a set of laws and regulations to govern this industry (Daher & Wasouf, 2016). From an economic perspective, the significance of insurance lies in the functions of its mediation, risk-pooling and real financial services. It is through these functions that insurance is seen as an indispensable agent and a target for economic development in contemporary economies (Danquah, Otoo, & Nuakoh, 2018). According (Vadlamannati, 2008), the means necessary for development in emerging economies is the creation of a highly-developed insurance sector. This is because insurance offers long term-funds for both social and physical infrastructures while at the same time enhancing risk-taking abilities (Danquah, Otoo, & Nuakoh, 2018). Palestine, as any other country, got influenced by the emergence of the insurance sector regardless of its weak economic system. It is worthy of mentioning here that the Palestinian insurance sector is newly established. When the Palestinian Authority returned in 1994, it became the legal body that is responsible for supervising the insurance sector. However, the sector was still in a bad place due to the lack of management control and organization over its work and the expansion of the culture of insurance at the needed level, resulting in a weak and unorganized insurance sector for almost ten years (Mai et al., 2019). This was the case until the Palestine Capital Market Authority (PCMA) was established in 2004. (Asa'd et al., 2023), which became the authorized play great role in the issuance of the Palestinian Insurance legal body to supervise, manage and control the insurance sector and Law in 2005 (Palestine Economic Policy Research Institute, 2016). Regarding the Jordanian situation, the insurance sector is suffering there too. Many Jordanian insurance firms are faced with a lot of performance-related troubles, and the insurance sector has been incurring financial losses due to the difficult existing circumstances (Almasarweh, Al-Rawashdeh, Wadi, Alnawaiseh, & Al-Rawashdeh, 2022). Jordan currently has 20 listed insurance companies in ASE, in addition to a number of firms that have been liquidated due to financial troubles. This is considered to be a huge number for a country as small as Jordan which has a relatively small insurance market compared with its neighboring countries, contributing to about 3% of the gross written premiums of the MENA region (Oxford Business Group, 2022). In spite of the potential need for consolidation, the business has been free of acquisitions and mergers for more than twenty years. Since the majority of the market is focused on third-party vehicle insurance the premiums of which are set by the government, merging two motor-focused firms into a larger one is pointless. Additionally, some firms have lacked the solvency margin since 2015 without being warned and without taking legal action to correct the situation (Nour et al., 2022). It is quite unbelievable that some insurance firms there, which are six in fact, have exceeded the solvency margin stated by the 2002 regulations which have specified explicitly that the solvency margin for the companies that operate in this sector must not fall below 150% of the capital required. This primarily means that there are firms operating in this sector without possessing the required amount of capital for meeting any potential needs, obligations or commitments they may have to deal with (Altarawneh, et al., 2022). (Al Momani et al., 2021). Efficiency, which is an indicator of a firm's performance, is enhanced by reducing costs during the production process. It is considered as one of the main foundations that guide insurance firms in ensuring health and safety conditions in such firms (Tuffour, Boateng, Ohemeng, & Akuaku, 2021). The issue of efficiency has become a major interest in the insurance industry since it aids in identifying efficient and inefficient firms in the market with the intention of enhancing profitability and competition and increasing policyholders' trust. Insurers' technical efficiency refers to their ability to produce a certain group of outputs through the use of inputs (Jaloudi, 2019). Not long ago, efficiency measurement has received much attention, and the insurance sector specifically has witnessed an extreme growth in the number of studies which apply frontier efficiency methods. These methods measure company performance relative to best practice frontier comprised of the leading firm in the industry. Data envelopment analysis (DEA) is the most frequently used method of frontier efficiency analysis in the insurance sector. DEA measures the relative performance of firms by constructing a comparison between a set of inputs and outputs and developing benchmarks which are related to industry best practices, relying on the notion that the widespread application of these could enhance performance throughout the entire industry (Barros, Barroso, & Borges, Evaluating the Efficiency and Productivity of Insurance Companies with a Malmquist Index: A Case Study for Portugal, 2005). It is of significance here to highlight the reason why Jordan and Palestine were chosen in the first place for this study, and why a comparison was struck between them. The issue of technical efficiency in insurance companies has not been thoroughly researched in Jordan and not at all in Palestine. Further, the two countries, geographically and culturally speaking, are of close proximity with each other. It is worth mentioning here that the West Bank was annexed to Jordan from 1950–1967 (Fischbach, 1994), which gave rise to the implementation of the Jordanian Law in the West Bank. It is for these reasons that the study combined the two countries and compared the technical efficiency of the listed insurance firms in Amman Stock Exchange and Palestine Exchange Market.

2. Literature Review and Hypothesis development

2.1 Firm Size - Firm size was one of the most significant factors affecting efficiency, according to (YAO, FENG, & HAN, 2007), (Luhnen, 2009), (Kader, Adams, & Hardwick, 2010), (Abor, Andoh, & Adu, 2012) and (Jaloudi, 2019) who studied the relation between efficiency and firm size in different economic environments. Their findings were that firm size has a positive

relationship with efficiency. According to them, this is attributed to economies of scale. In other studies, however, firm size was found to have a negative relation with efficiency according to (Fenn, Vencappa, Diacon, Klumpes, & O'Brien, 2008) (Barros, Caporale, & Ibiwoye, , 2008) and (Danquah, Otoo, & Nuakoh, 2018) with diseconomies of scale being the reason for this, which increases the production volume leading to an increase in production costs per unit. The discrepancy of the results severs as an encouraging motive to study the relation between firm size and technical efficiency in the Palestinian and Jordanian insurance markets.

By looking into the related previous literature, the following hypothesis can be generated: H1: There is positive a relationship between firm size and technical efficiency of the listed insurance companies in PEX and ASE.

2.2 Capital Structure- There is a good number of studies which have discussed the effect of capital structure on technical efficiency. For instance, (Danquah, Otoo, & Nuakoh, 2018) and (Abor, Andoh, & Adu, 2012) examined the effect of capital structure on technical efficiency for the insurance companies operating in Ghana and found that equity capital affects technical efficiency in a negative way. The results of their studies were consistent with (Kasman & Turgutlu, 2009) who studied the effect of capital structure on efficiency in Turkish insurance firms and (Barros, Caporale, & Ibiwoye, A TWO-STAGE EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY IN NIGERIA, 2008) who studied the effect of capital structure on insurance firms in Nigeria. The results of these studies indicate that companies which raised the portion of their assets owned by shareholders reduced their cost efficiencies via the dividends and other agency related problems. Equity capital holdings relative to total assets limited resources allocation to producing output, generated expenses for the insurance companies and led to the reduction of efficiency. These studies suggested that insurance companies could use less equity and/or more debt to finance its capital in order to achieve better efficiency.

From the above the following hypothesis can be developed: H2: There is a positive relationship between capital structure and technical efficiency of the listed insurance companies in PEX and ASE.

2.3 Profitability- Profitability is of crucial importance when it comes to insurance companies, for it reflects performance and is closely associated with efficiency since both are significant in achieving the company's goals. ROA is employed to express profitability. (Jaloudi, 2019), (Grmanová & Strunz, 2017) and (Diacon, 2001) have found that profitability and technical efficiency have a positive relationship, (Nadeem, Iqbal, & Hanif, 2022) studied the technical efficiency for Pakistani banks and its determinants found the same results. They concluded that the increase in the firms' profit leads to a reduction in the inputs required in production as the firm is generating more outputs(profit) form their given inputs.

From the abovementioned, the following hypothesis can be generated: H3: There is a positive relationship between profitability and technical efficiency of the listed insurance companies in PEX and ASE.

2.4 Type of Insurance- The type of business provided by insurance companies can have an impact on its efficiency. By way of example, (Danquah, Otoo, & Nuakoh, 2018) found that the type of insurance is a key factor in determining cost efficiency. (Abor, Andoh, & Adu, 2012) and (Danquah, Otoo, & Nuakoh, 2018) found that life insurance companies were costly efficient compared with non-life companies. According to them, this result can be explained by the people's limited knowledge of non-life insurance companies' products, and the fierce competition in the life insurance business as opposed to that of non-life.

From here, the following hypothesis can be developed: H4: There is a positive relationship between type of insurance and technical efficiency of the listed insurance companies in PEX and ASE.

2.5 Market Share- According to the previous literature that examined the relation between market share and efficiency, it has been observed that the results varied across the selected previous studies. For instance, (Barros, Caporale, & Ibiwoye, A TWO-STAGE EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY IN NIGERIA, 2008) -who studied the efficiency of Nigerian insurance firms- found this relation to be positive, which goes in line with the results of (Abor, Andoh, & Adu, 2012) who studied the technical efficiency of Ghana's insurance firms. Both of these studies employed the same methodology which is data envelopment analysis (DEA), and regression analysis. They explained their findings in light of traditional structure conduct-performance hypothesis. On the other hand, there are othere studies in which the relation between market share and efficiency proved to be negative, such as (Fenn, Vencappa, Diacon, Klumpes, & O'Brien, 2008) who studied the relationship between market structure and European insurance firms, using data envelopment analysis and (Danquah, Otoo, & Nuakoh, 2018) who studied the efficiency of insurance firms operating in Ghana using the methodology of stochastic frontier analysis. According to

them, the relationship was found to be negative due to the fierce competition in the insurance industry because of which companies spend more compared with what they received from premium revenues. (Abdeljawad et al.,2022) This leads to the development of the fifth hypothesis in this research which reads:

H5: There is a positive relationship between market share and the technical efficiency of the listed insurance companies in PEX and ASE.

3. Data and methodology

out of 10 insurance firms listed in Palestine Exchange Market, and all the 20 listed insurance 6The study uses panel data for firms in Amman Stock Exchange Market. The excluded firms are so due to the unavailability of data covering the entire period of study. This conforms to the DEA convention requiring that the minimum number of DMUs is three times greater than the number of inputs plus outputs (Abor, Andoh, & Adu, 2012). In this study, quantitative research design will be employed by relying on secondary data obtained from the annual financial statements of the insurance firms listed in the stocks exchange markets of Palestine and Jordan over the period (2016-2020).

3.1 Data Envelopment Analysis

Data envelopment analysis, which is known as DEA, is a linear programming technique that was brought about through the works of Cooper, Charnes and Rhodes (1978). This technique is non-parametric and is employed in the evaluating of the functions of production. It has also been extensively used to evaluate measures of technical efficiency in multiple industries (Jaloudi, 2019).

This approach is empirically employed to measure the productive efficiency of decision-making units (DMUs). The method is also employed for benchmarking in operations management, where a specific group of measures is chosen to benchmark the performance of service operations and manufacturing. In benchmarking, the DMUs that are efficient according to DEA are not necessarily supposed to form a production frontier; rather, they are supposed to lead to a 'best-practice' frontier (Kader, Adams, & Hardwick, 2010).

 $\label{eq:Table} Table \, (I) \\$ Inputs and outputs for technical efficiency (dependent variable).

Inputs	Reference	Output	Reference
F		2 11.4	
	(Abor, et al, 2012) (Jaloudi,		
Total operating cost	2019) (Ajlouni & Tobaishat,	Profit or loss	(Abor, et al, 2012)
	2010)		
			(Abor, et al, 2012) (Jaloudi,
Total capital	(Abor, et al, 2012)	Investment income	2019)
Total Capital			(Ajlouni & Tobaishat,
			2010)
Not incurred claims	(Grmanová & Strunz, 2017)	Net earned premiums before	(Jaloudi, 2019)
Thet incurred craims	(Offinanova & Struitz, 2017)	commissions	(Jaioudi, 2019)

Table (II)
Measurements of inputs and outputs for dependent variables

Variables	Description
Total operating cost	Include allocated employee benefits expense, allocated administrative and general expense, unallocated employee benefits, and unallocated administrative and general expense.
Total capital	Include paid in capital, reserves and retained earnings.
Net incurred claims	Include Paid-up claims, Reinsurer's share of paid up claims, Change in reserve of outstanding and unreported claims, and Reinsurer's share of change in reserve of outstanding and unreported claims
Profit or loss	Include net income after taxes from all operations of company
Investment income	Include profits arising from financial investments as well as the interest on deposits in banks and interest on bonds owned by the firm
Net earned premiums before commissions	Include Gross written insurance premiums, Change in unearned premiums, Gross reinsurers' premiums, and Reinsurers' share of change in unearned premiums

According to (ERDEMİR1 & TATLIDİL, 2017) the model of input oriented CCR can be defined as:

$$\max_{max\ hk} \frac{\sum_{r=1}^{s}\ u_{rk}\ Y_{rk}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\ V_{ik}\ X_{ik}}$$

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{rk} \, Y_{rk} \\ & \leq 1 \; ; \; j=1, \ldots, n \\ \end{split}$$

$$\geq 0$$
; $r = 1,, s^{\mathbf{u}_{rk}}$

$$\geq 0$$
; $i = 1, ..., m^{V_{ik}}$

X: shows the Ith input variable which is used by Jth decision making units.

Y: shows the rth output variable which is used by Jth decision making units.

N: the number of decision-making units, minimum number of DMU must be garter three time than number of inputs plus outputs (Abor, Andoh, & Adu, 2012).

and V_{ik} represents the weights for input I and output r (divided by total assets) for kth decision-making unit. u_{rk}

Where $0 \le I \le m$ and $0 \le r \le s$

3.2 Panel data regression

Random-effect regression is used in order to see the effect of all of all independent factors on dependent variables, after that Hausman test applied in order to decide which type of regression to take based on the result we use.

Research Model

The suggest model is as follow:

Yit= a+ B1log (firm size) it+ B2(capital structure) it+ B3(ROA)it+ B4(type)it+ B5log (market share) it + eit

Y: Technical efficiency

a: Constant

Size: Logarithm of total assets

Capital structure: Logarithm of equity to total invested assets

ROA: Return on assets

Type: Dummy variable, 1 for compound / 0 for non-life

Market share: Logarithm market share of insurance firms in its market

B: Parameters

e: Random error

i and t: - indicator for individuals and time

Table (III)

Independent variables measurement

Independent variable	Measurement	Resources
		(Barros, et al, 2008)
• Size	Logarithm of total assets	(Abor, et al, 2012)
		(Jaloudi, 2019)
• Type	1 compound firms/ 0 for otherwise	(Jaloudi, 2019)
• Return on Assets	Net income/total assets	(Jaloudi, 2019)
Market share	Firms gross premium / total gross premium	(Barros, et al, 2008)
Warket share		(Abor, et al, 2012)
Capital structure	Equity / total assets	(Barros, et al, 2008)
Capital Structure	Equity / total assets	(Abor, et al, 2012)

4. Data analysis and findings

4.1 DEA Results:

Table (IV) and table (V) show the average of technical efficiency per year for the listed insurance firms in PEX and ASE during the period (2016-2020). Five years for each insurance firm.

 $\label{eq:total_continuous} Table~(IV)$ Average technical efficiency per year for insurance firms listed in PEX

Year	Average
2016	0.619
2017	0.618
2018	0.616

2019	0.615
2020	0.614

The results of DEA show a slight decrease in technical efficiency of the listed insurance firms in PEX, as it was 61.9 percent in 2016 and decreased to 61.4 percent in 2020. The year 2020 witnessed the lowest level of technical efficiency as it was 61.4 percent. From the results, the insurance sector in Palestine is not considered to be fully efficient. There is room for enhancement to be done; the sector as a whole is not mixing its inputs in the best way possible to get certain outputs.

Table (V)

Average technical efficiency per year for the listed insurance firms in ASE

	•
Year	Average
2016	0.594
2017	0.592
2018	0.592
2019	0.592
2020	0.592

The results show that the average technical efficiency of the listed insurance firms in ASE during the period 2016-2020 were constant at 59.2 percent which is lower than that of the listed insurance firms in PEX for each year, which indicates that the insurance firms operating in Palestine are more efficient than the insurance firms operating in Jordan. This could be attributed to the difference in the nature of insurance environment between the two countries.

The insurance sector in Jordan is bigger than that of Palestine, therefore the number of the insurance firms operating in Jordan is larger compared to those operating in Palestine, which leads to a higher completion in Jordan. It is due to this highly completion that the listed insurance firms in ASE cannot mix their inputs and outputs in the best way.

Tables below show the average technical efficiency during 2016-2020 for each of the insurance firms listed in PEX and ASE.

 $Table \ (VI)$ Technical efficiency scores ranking for listed insurance firms in PEX

COMPANY NAME	DEA score (Average)	DEA score Rank
TIC	79.51%	1
PICO	78.82%	2
GUI	77.47%	3
MIC	76.43%	4
Trust	66.29%	11
NIC	63.31%	15

 $Table \ (VII)$ Technical efficiency scores ranking for listed insurance firms in ASE

ARGR 74.81% 5 AMMI 72.25% 6 JOFR 71.11% 7 ARAS 70.45% 8 MDGF 70.40% 9 MIIC 66.38% 10 AIUI 65.61% 12 NAAI 64.73% 13 UNIN 63.43% 14 AICJ 60.69% 16 JERY 59.01% 17 AAIN 57.86% 18 GIGJ 57.37% 19 JOIN 56.16% 20 PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25 JIJC 21.88% 26			
JOFR 71.11% 7 ARAS 70.45% 8 MDGF 70.40% 9 MIIC 66.38% 10 AIUI 65.61% 12 NAAI 64.73% 13 UNIN 63.43% 14 AICJ 60.69% 16 JERY 59.01% 17 AAIN 57.86% 18 GIGJ 57.37% 19 JOIN 56.16% 20 PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	ARGR	74.81%	5
ARAS 70.45% 8 MDGF 70.40% 9 MIIC 66.38% 10 AIUI 65.61% 12 NAAI 64.73% 13 UNIN 63.43% 14 AICJ 60.69% 16 JERY 59.01% 17 AAIN 57.86% 18 GIGJ 57.37% 19 JOIN 56.16% 20 PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	AMMI	72.25%	6
MDGF 70.40% 9 MIIC 66.38% 10 AIUI 65.61% 12 NAAI 64.73% 13 UNIN 63.43% 14 AICJ 60.69% 16 JERY 59.01% 17 AAIN 57.86% 18 GIGJ 57.37% 19 JOIN 56.16% 20 PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	JOFR	71.11%	7
MIIC 66.38% 10 AIUI 65.61% 12 NAAI 64.73% 13 UNIN 63.43% 14 AICJ 60.69% 16 JERY 59.01% 17 AAIN 57.86% 18 GIGJ 57.37% 19 JOIN 56.16% 20 PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	ARAS	70.45%	8
AIUI 65.61% 12 NAAI 64.73% 13 UNIN 63.43% 14 AICJ 60.69% 16 JERY 59.01% 17 AAIN 57.86% 18 GIGJ 57.37% 19 JOIN 56.16% 20 PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	MDGF	70.40%	9
NAAI 64.73% 13 UNIN 63.43% 14 AICJ 60.69% 16 JERY 59.01% 17 AAIN 57.86% 18 GIGJ 57.37% 19 JOIN 56.16% 20 PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	MIIC	66.38%	10
UNIN 63.43% 14 AICJ 60.69% 16 JERY 59.01% 17 AAIN 57.86% 18 GIGJ 57.37% 19 JOIN 56.16% 20 PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	AIUI	65.61%	12
AICJ 60.69% 16 JERY 59.01% 17 AAIN 57.86% 18 GIGJ 57.37% 19 JOIN 56.16% 20 PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	NAAI	64.73%	13
JERY 59.01% 17 AAIN 57.86% 18 GIGJ 57.37% 19 JOIN 56.16% 20 PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	UNIN	63.43%	14
AAIN 57.86% 18 GIGJ 57.37% 19 JOIN 56.16% 20 PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	AICJ	60.69%	16
GIGJ 57.37% 19 JOIN 56.16% 20 PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	JERY	59.01%	17
JOIN 56.16% 20 PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	AAIN	57.86%	18
PHIN 55.84% 21 DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	GIGJ	57.37%	19
DICL 54.18% 22 TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	JOIN	56.16%	20
TIIC 53.00% 23 MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	PHIN	55.84%	21
MEIN 38.26% 24 FINS 34.67% 25	DICL	54.18%	22
FINS 34.67% 25	TIIC	53.00%	23
	MEIN	38.26%	24
JIJC 21.88% 26	FINS	34.67%	25
	JIJC	21.88%	26

Tables (VI) and (VII) show that 9 firms have efficiency score between 70-79 percent, 14 firms have efficiency score in a range of 50-67 percent, and 3 firms within 20-38 percent. These results show that there are no firms in the sample that are considered to be fully efficient. Most of the results flow in a range of 50% to 67%, and these firms have large inputs so they should have the same outputs by significantly reducing their inputs and making a better combination between inputs and outputs.

It is observed from table (VI) that four of the listed insurance firms in PEX have highest technical efficiency scores over the period (2016-2020) which give an impression that the listed insurance firms in PEX are more efficient than those listed in ASE. The highest technical efficiency score was for AL-TAKAFUL INSURANCE with a score 79.51%. This could be due to strategic planning, decision of management, and training of employees which in turn lower the inputs needed in the process of pre producing outputs. One noticeable point about this firm is that it does not have the highest profit nor the highest premium compared with the other listed firms. Further, it does not have the highest market share, which did not increase over the period covered by the study, meaning that TIC is combining its inputs better than its competitors when producing its outputs.

The results of Trust insurance firm efficiency score were noticeable, sine it has the largest amount of assets and market share among the insurance firms in Palestine, and it has the largest number of agents who provide its insurance services. It also has a very good reputation in the Palestinian market. However, its average efficiency score for the five years was 66.3% which puts it in the 5th place compared to the listed insurance firms in PEX, and in the 11th place of the 26 firms chosen as sample. This points that Trust insurance firm does not mix its input in an efficient way as its competitors PICO, GUI and MIC do. These have lower assets, profit, and market share, but they combine their inputs efficiently. Another unexpected result was for NIC, since it

is considered to be the most profitable insurance firm in Palestine in the last 5 years and it has the second largest market share after Trust insurance firm. Its technical efficiency score was 63.31% putting it in 15th place of 26, and in the last position of the six listed insurance firms in PEX. The most efficient insurance firm listed in ASE was the Arab Jordanian Insurance Group (ARGR) with an efficiency score 74.81% putting it in 5th place of 26. ARGR which is considered to be a small firm compared to its competitors in Jordan, which means that this firm has a good balance between its inputs and outputs. The lowest efficient firm was Newton Insurance JIJC with an efficiency score of 21.8% which is very low compared to the other companies. This mean that this firm has poor management and it does not combine its inputs and outputs in efficiency way. Its cost of production is high and this could be due to the non-add value process. The technical efficiency scores for AMMI, JOFR, ARAS, and MDGF were between (70%-72%). Five of the listed insurance firms in ASE had technical efficiency scores between (60%-66.4%), and seven firms were between (53%-59%). MEIN and FINS firm's technical efficiency score were at 30%.

The following table shows the technical efficiency scores for non-life listed insurance firms in PEX:

Table (VIII)
Technical efficiency scores for non-life insurance firms listed in PEX

Company	Efficiency score	Rank
Palestine Insurance	78.82%	2
Al Mashreq Insurance	76.43%	4
Average	77.6%	

The following table shows the technical efficiency scores for non-life listed insurance firms in ASE:

Table (IX)
Technical efficiency scores for non-life insurance firms listed in ASE

Company	Efficiency score	Rank
The Arab Assurers Company	70.45%	8
The Mediterranean & Gulf Insurance Co	70.40%	9
AlMANARA Insurance	66.38%	10
Arab Union International Insurance Company	65.61%	12
Gulf Insurance Group	57.37%	19
Pliadelphia Insurance Company	55.84%	21
Average	61.2	

Table (VIII) shows that the highest technical efficiency for the non-life insurance firms listed in PEX is Palestine Insurance and AL Mashreq Insurance with an average 78.82 percent and 76.43 percent respectively. Table (IX) shows that the highest technical efficiency for the listed non-life insurance firms in ASE was that of the Arab Assurers Company with an average of 70.45 percent and the lowest one was that of Pliadelphia with an average of 55.84 percent. Pliadelphia company has a problem with mixing its inputs and outputs, and has a poor management decision and planning. However, the results indicate that the listed non-life insurance firms in PEX are mixing their inputs and outputs better than the ones listed in ASE. This might be due to the competition between the insurance firms that operate in Jordan which is higher compared to the competition between the insurance firms operating in Palestine, which leads to a waste of resources and poor decisions on the part of the management at some time.

The table below shows technical efficiency scores for compound listed insurance firms in PEX.

Table (X)
Technical efficiency scores for compound insurance firms listed in PEX

Company	Efficiency score	Rank
AL-TAKAFUL INSURANCE	79.51%	1
Global United Insurance	77.47%	3
TRUST PLAESTINE	66.29%	11
NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY	63.31%	15
Average	0.613	

Table (X) shows that the highest average of the technical efficiency scores for compound insurance firms listed in PEX is that of TIC with an average of 79.51 percent followed by Global United insurance with an average of 77.47 percent. However, the lowest average of technical efficiency is that of NIC which is 63.31 percent. This could be caused by the high amount of inputs NIC uses to produce its outputs; it needs to use less resources to produce the same amount of outputs. The table (11) represent technical efficiency scores for compound listed insurance firms in ASE.

Table (XI)
Technical efficiency scores for compound insurance firms listed in ASE

Company	Efficiency score	Rank
Arab Jordanian Insurance Group	74.81%	5
Euro Arab Insurance Group	72.25%	6
JORDAN FRENCH INSURANCE Co. (P.L.C)	71.11%	7
National Insurance Company WATANIA	64.73%	13
UNITED INSURANCE	63.43%	14
ARABIA Insurance Company – JORDAN	60.69%	16
JERUSALEM INSURANCE		
Al-Nisr Al-Arabi Insurance	59.01%	17
Jordan Insurance Company	57.86%	18
Delta Insurance	56.16%	20
Islamic Insurance Company	54.18%	22
Middle East Insurance Co.	53.00%	23
First Insurance (SOLIDARITY)	38.26%	24
Newton Insurance (JIJC)	34.67%	25
Average	21.88%	26
Average	0.584	

Table (XI) shows that the highest technical efficiency for compound insurance firms listed in ASE is that of Arab Jordanian Insurance Group with an average of 74.81 percent followed by Euro Arab Insurance group. However, the lowest average is that of Newton insurance with an average of 21.88 percent.

The average score of technical efficiency of non-life insurance firms listed in PEX and ASE is higher than the average score of technical efficiency of compound insurance firms listed in PEX and ASE. This is because when firms compete, they focus on non-life insurance more than life insurance. The market shares of life insurance in Jordan and Palestine have not seen any major

change in their structure over the period covered by this study. So non-life insurance firms are combining their inputs and outputs better than insurance firms which provide life and non-life. The latter should reduce their inputs in order to raise their technical efficiency score.

4.2 Panel Data Analysis

Table (XII)
Methods Comparisons

Fixed methods		Random m	Random methods	
Variables	Model	Variables	Model	
Firms Size	-0.000	Firms Size	-0.000***	
	(0.000)		(0.000)	
Capital Structure	-0.882***	Capital Structure	-1.041***	
	(0.104)		(0.074)	
ROA	1.797***	ROA	1.895***	
	(0.113)		(0.107)	
Туре	-	Туре	-0.003	
			(0.021)	
Market share	0.483*	Market share	0.541***	
	(0.248)		(0.163)	
Constant	0.866***	Constant	0.978***	
	(0.047)		(0.029)	
Observations	130	Observations	130	
Number of coid	26	Number of coid	26	
R-squared	0.719	R-squared	0.856	

Standard errors in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Hausman test

Prob>chi2 = 0.1973

(V_b-V_B is not positive definite)

From Hausman test since Prob>ch12 = 0.1973 is larger than 5% the random-effect regression GLS is used.

H1: Table (XII) shows a negative effect of firm size on the technical efficiency of the listed insurance firms in PEX and ASE. Since P value is less than 5% and B has negative value. So H1 is rejected. These results are similar to (Fenn, Vencappa, Diacon, Klumpes, & O'Brien, 2008), (Barros, Caporale, & Ibiwoye, A TWO-STAGE EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY IN NIGERIA, 2008) and (Danquah, Otoo, & Nuakoh, 2018). This negative effect might be explained by diseconomies of scale; as the increase in the production volume leads to an increase in production costs per unit.

H2: GLS shows a negative effect of capital structure on the technical efficiency of the listed insurance firms in PEX and ASE. Since P is less than 5% and B has a negative value, H2 is rejected. The results are similar to those of (Barros, Caporale, & Ibiwoye, A TWO-STAGE EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY IN NIGERIA, 2008), (Kasman & Turgutlu, 2009), (Abor, Andoh, & Adu, 2012) and (Danquah, Otoo, & Nuakoh, 2018). This negative effect can be interrupted in light of agency problem as equity capital holdings relative to total assets limits resources distribution to produce the desired output, which generates costs for the insurance companies reduces efficiency.

H3: From table (XIII), a positive effect of ROA on the technical efficiency of the listed insurance firms in PEX and ASE was found. Since P value is less than 5% and B has a positive value. So H3 is accepted. The results matched those of (Diacon, 2001), (Grmanová & Strunz, 2017) (Jaloudi, 2019) and (Nadeem, Iqbal, & Hanif, 2022). As proftis for insurance firms increse, less inputs for the production process are needed.

H4: Panel data analysis shows that the type of insurance has no effect on the technical efficiency of the listed insurance firms in PEX and ASE. Since P value is more than 5%, H4 is rejected. These results are different form the results of (Danquah, Otoo, & Nuakoh, 2018). This could be attributed to the fact that there is a small share of life insurance premuim in Palestine and Jordan due to religious resons, most of the insurance premiums are for non-life insurance. It is for this reson that no effect of insurance type was found.

H5: Table (XV), shows that market share has a positive effect on the technical efficiency of the listed insruacne firms in PEX and ASE. Since P value is less than 5% and B has a positive value, H5 is accepted. The results are similer to (Barros, Caporale, & Ibiwoye, A TWO-STAGE EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY IN NIGERIA, 2008) and (Abor, Andoh, & Adu, 2012) but are inconsistent with the traditional structure conduct hypothesis.

5. Conclusions and recommendations

EA shows that the insurance firms listed in PEX were more efficient than these listed in ASE during the period covered by the study (2016-2020). This can be attributed to several reasons. First, the Jordanian insurance market is larger than the Palestinian insurance market as the total written premiums in Palestine during 2020 were (286,142,525) and in Jordan were (593,442,124), and the number of firms operating in the Jordanian insurance market is more than the Palestinian insurance firms, which makes competition between Jordanian insurance companies more complicated compared to the Palestinian insurance market. This indicates that firms spend their resources in the wrong way while trying to beat their competitors. Second, the cancellation of the Jordanian insurance authority in 2014 left the Jordanian insurance market without a legal supervisor while in the Palestinian insurance market, the PCMA has been supervising the sector and protecting the insurance firms operating in Palestine form wasting their recourses. DEA also shows that the listed non-life insurance firms have an average technical efficiency higher than the listed compound insurance firms in PEX and ASE. This is because the culture of Palestine and Jordan is affected by Islam which prohibits life insurance. Therefore, the market share of life insurance is limited in both countries and the firms can spend their resources used for life insurance to other insurance branches.DEA also shows that the listed non-life insurance firms have an average technical efficiency higher than the listed compound insurance firms in PEX and ASE. This is because the culture of Palestine and Jordan is affected by Islam which prohibits life insurance. Therefore, the market share of life insurance is limited in both countries and the firms can spend their resources used for life insurance to other insurance branches. GLR analysis shows that firm size has a negative effect on the technical efficiency of the listed insurance firms in PEX and ASE. This result matches the results of (Fenn, Vencappa, Diacon, Klumpes, & O'Brien, 2008), (Barros, Caporale, & Ibiwoye, A TWO-STAGE EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY IN NIGERIA, 2008) and (Danquah, Otoo, & Nuakoh, 2018). This negative effect is caused by diseconomies of scale, which happen when a company grows very largely, making its cost per unit increases. Capital structure also was found to have a negative effect on technical efficiency. These results are similar to (Barros, Caporale, & Ibiwoye, A TWO-STAGE EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY IN NIGERIA, 2008), (Kasman & Turgutlu, 2009), (Abor, Andoh, & Adu, 2012) and (Danquah, Otoo, & Nuakoh, 2018). This implies that firms which finance their assets with shareholder capital will decrease their technical efficiency through dividends issues and other agency problems. Type of insurance has no effect on technical efficiency. Profitability which is represented in ROA and affects technical efficiency in a positive way, matching results of (Grmanová & Strunz, 2017), (Jaloudi, 2019) and (Nadeem, Iqbal, & Hanif, 2022). As proftis for insurance companies increse less resouces is needed for the production.

Recommendation

As reflected by the results, capital structure has a negative effect on technical efficiency. The managements of the listed insurance firms in PEX and ASE could finance the assets of firms through debts and bonds more than common stocks. As for firm size, it also negatively affects the technical efficiency of the listed insurance firms in Palestine and Jordan stocks exchange markets, hence the managements of these firms should consider making strategic planning before increasing the total assets of their firms in order to avoid diseconomies of scale. Market share on the other hand seems to have a positive influence on technical efficiency. Therefore, managements could take decisions aimed at increasing their firms' market share through advertising, sales panels and sales. Additionally, the managements of these firms might better extend their efforts to increase their profits by increasing their revenues and reducing their costs. This could be achieved by eliminating non-added value processes in their operations. An important point to mention here concerns the regulatory bodies who could issue laws and regulations controlling how firms finance their assets in order to prevent an exaggerated increase in firms' total assets and control the competition to avoid illegal collusion between firms or any price leading which could affect the market share of some firms and cause a drop in their profits. Finally, as the technical efficiency of the insurance firms listed in the Palestine and Jordan stocks exchange markets was examined by DEA and regression analysis, future researches could be done by implying other analysis techniques or by examining other types of efficiency. By way of example, researchers may study the efficiency of the Palestinian and Jordanian insurance markets and compare their results with the findings of this study to come up with more recommendations that could improve the efficiency of the insurance sector in Palestine and Jordan. Further, they could use factors in the macro level to influence the dependent variable.

Contributions/Practical Implications:

The research makes significant theoretical and empirical contributions to literature regarding evaluating the technical efficiency of the insurance firms operating in Jordan and Palestine markets and other countries and examine the factors that affect this efficiency. The research results might help both decisions makers' and practitioners in insurance companies to be more ready to understand the components and principles of technical efficiency and effectiveness of information technology governance in insurance companies. The management should focus on how to manage resources and wealth; therefore, it should be taken into serious consideration when formulating their strategy. This strategy formulation process can be enhanced by fully integrating and their indicators into management practices to improve their performance and should assign scales for each of such perspectives.

References

- Abdeljawad, I., Dwaikat, L.M., Oweidat, G.: The Determinants of Profitability of Insurance Companies in Palestine. An-Najah University Journal for Research B (Humanities) 36, 439-468 (2022
- Abor, J., Andoh, C., & Adu, K. (2012). Evaluating the cost efficiency of insurance companies in Ghana. Journal of Risk Finance, 13(1), 61-76. https://doi.org/10.1108/15265941211191949
- Almasarweh, M. S., Al-Rawashdeh, O. M., Wadi, S., Alnawaiseh, M. B., & Al-Rawashdeh, F. (2022). Risk Management and Financial Performance of Insurance Companies in Jordan. Social Space, 22(1), 112-142.
- Al Momani K.M.K., Jamaludin N., Abdullah W.Z.W.Z.W., Nour AN.I. (2021) The Influence of Relational Capital on the

 Relationship Between Intellectual Capital and Earnings Per Share in the Digital Economy in the Jordanian Industrial Sector.

 In: Musleh Al-Sartawi A.M.A. (eds) The Big Data-Driven Digital Economy: Artificial and Computational Intelligence.

Studies in Computational Intelligence, vol 974. pp 59-76, Springer, Cham.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-73057-4 5

- Altarawneh, G. A., Hassanat, A. B., Tarawneh, A. S., Abadleh, A., Alrashidi, M., & Alghamdi, M. (2022). Stock Price Forecasting for Jordan Insurance Companies Amid the COVID-19 Pandemic Utilizing Off-the-Shelf Technical Analysis Methods.

 Economies, 10(2), 43. doi:10.3390/economies10020043
- Asa'd, I.A.A., Nour, A., Atout, S. (2023). The Impact of Financial Performance on Firm's Value During Covid-19 Pandemic for Companies Listed in the Palestine Exchange (2019–2020). In: Musleh Al-Sartawi, A.M.A., Razzaque, A., Kamal, M.M. (eds)

 From the Internet of Things to the Internet of Ideas: The Role of Artificial Intelligence. EAMMIS 2022. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, vol 557. Springer, Cham.

 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-17746-0 42
- Barros, C. P., Barroso, N., & Borges, M. R. (2005). Evaluating the Efficiency and Productivity of Insurance Companies with a Malmquist Index: A Case Study for Portugal. The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance-Issues and Practice, 30(2), 244-267. doi:10.1057/palgrave.gpp.2510029
- Barros, C. P., Caporale, G. M., & Ibiwoye, A. (2008). A TWO-STAGE EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY IN NIGERIA. Brunel Univ. West London, Brunel Business School.
- Daher, H., & Wasouf, A. (2016). Determinants of Profitability in the Insurance Companies An Applied Study on the Insurance Companies Operating in Syria. Tishreen University Journal for Research and Scientific Studies -Economic and Legal Sciences Series, 38(3), 525-542.
- Danquah, M., Otoo, D. M., & Nuakoh, A. B. (2018). Cost efficiency of insurance firms in Ghana. Managerial and Decision Economics, 39(2), 213-225.
- Diacon, S. (2001). The Efficiency of UK General Insurance Companies. CRIS Discussion paper Series. Centre for Risk & Insurance Studies. The University of Nottingham, 3(1), 1-32.
- ERDEMİR1, Ö. K., & TATLIDİL, H. (2017). Data Reduction in Data Envelopment Analysis: A Research on Efficiency of Insurance Companies. Journal of Current Researches on Business and Economics, 7(1), 66-78.
- Fenn, P., Vencappa, D., Diacon, S., Klumpes, P., & O'Brien, C. (2008). Market structure and the efficiency of European insurance companies: A stochastic frontier analysis. Journal of Banking & Finance, 32(1), 86-100. doi:10.1016/j.jbankfin.2007.09.005
- Fischbach, M. R. (1994). The Implications of Jordanian Land Policy for the West Bank. Middle East Journal, 48(3), 492-509.

 Retrieved from https://www.jstor.org/stable/4328718
- Grmanová, E., & Strunz, H. (2017). Efficiency of insurance companies: Application of DEA and Tobit analyses. JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES, 10(3), 250-263. doi: 10.14254/2071-8330.2017/10-3/18
- Jaloudi, M. M. (2019). The efficiency of Jordan insurance companies and its determinants using DEA, slacks, and logit models.

 Journal of Asian Business and Economic Studies, 26(1), 153-166. doi: https://doi.org/10.1108/JABES-10-2018-0072

- Kader, H. A., Adams, M., & Hardwick, P. (2010). The cost efficiency of Takaful insurance companies. Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance: Issues and Practice, 35(1), 161-181. doi:10.1057/gpp.2009.33
- Kasman, A., & Turgutlu, E. (2009). Cost efficiency and scale economies in the Turkish insurance industry. Applied Economics, 41(24), 3151-3159.https://doi.org/10.1080/00036840701367663
- Luhnen, M. (2009). Determinants of Efficiency and Productivity in German Property-Liability Insurance: Evidence for 1995-2006.

 The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance. Issues and Practice, 34(3), 483-505. Retrieved from

 https://www.jstor.org/stable/41953042
- Nadeem, W., Iqbal, N., & Hanif, H. (2022). Assessing the Determinants of Efficiency: An Empirical Evidence from Developing Economy. Journal of Economic Impact, 4(1), 71-80. doi:doi: 10.52223/jei4012208
- Nour, Abdulnaser; Bouqalieh, Bassam; and Okour, Samer, (2022) "The impact of institutional governance mechanisms on the dimensions of the efficiency of intellectual capital and the role of the size of the company in the Jordanian Shareholding industrial companies," An-Najah University Journal for Research B (Humanities): Vol. 36: Iss. 10, Article 6.

 https://digitalcommons.aaru.edu.jo/anujr b/vol36/iss10/6
- Mai Jabarin, Abdulnaser Nour and Sameh Atout (2019). Impact of macroeconomic factors and political events on the market index returns at Palestine and Amman Stock Markets (2011–2017). Investment Management and Financial Innovations, 16(4), 156-167. http://dx.doi.org/10.21511/imfi.16(4).2019.14
- Oxford Business Group. (2022). Increased competition and new regulations transform the sector. Retrieved October 04, 2022, from https://oxfordbusinessgroup.com/overview/increased-competition-and-new-regulations-transform-sector.
- Palestine Economic Policy Research Institute. (2016, February 17). The insurance sector in Palestine achievements, failures and challenges. Roundtable (2), p. 2016.
- Tuffour, J. K., Boateng, K. O., Ohemeng, W., & Akuaku, J. K. (2021). Life Insurance Companies: Determinants of Cost Efficiency and Profitability. Journal of Accounting, Business and Management (JABM), 28(2), 1-19.
 https://doi.org/10.31966/jabminternational.v28i2.501
- Vadlamannati, K. C. (2008). Do Insurance Sector Growth and Reforms Affect Economic Development? Empirical Evidence from India. The Journal of Applied Economic Research, 2(1), 43-86. https://doi.org/10.1177/097380100700200102
- YAO, S., FENG, G., & HAN, Z. (2007). On technical efficiency of China's insurance industry after WTO accession. China Economic Review, 18(1), 66-86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chieco.2006.10.005

Appendix

Table XV Sample of the study

Sample of the study

No.	Company Name	Market
1.	NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY (NIC)	Palestine
2.	Al Mashreq Insurance	Palestine
3.	TRUST PLAESTINE	Palestine
4.	Palestine Insurance	Palestine
5.	Global United Insurance	Palestine
6.	AL-TAKAFUL INSURANCE	Palestine
7.	Middle East Insurance Co.	Jordan
8.	Al-Nisr Al-Arabi Insurance	Jordan
9.	Jordan Insurance Company	Jordan
10.	ARABIA Insurance Company – JORDAN	Jordan
11.	Delta Insurance	Jordan
12.	JERUSALEM INSURANCE	Jordan
13.	UNITED INSURANCE	Jordan
14.	JORDAN FRENCH INSURANCE Co. (P.L.C)	Jordan
15.	AlMANARA Insurance	Jordan
16.	Arab Orient Insurance	Jordan
17.	Pliadelphia Insurance Company	Jordan
18.	Arab Union International Insurance Company	Jordan
19.	National Insurance Company WATANIA	Jordan
20.	Newton Insurance	Jordan
21.	Euro Arab Insurance Group	Jordan
22.	Islamic Insurance Company	Jordan
23.	The Arab Assurers Company	Jordan
24.	Arab Jordanian Insurance Group	Jordan
25.	The Mediterranean & Gulf Insurance Co.	Jordan
26.	First Insurance (SOLIDARITY)	Jordan