Open Access Original Research

Maternal tobacco smoking among pregnant Palestinian women: risk for women and newborns

BASMA R. DAMIRI¹, AMMAR A.THABALEH², ALLA SALAH³, DIYAA J. OTHMAN², LARA S. ISHTAHYEH², WALAA R. ABUGHANNAM², RAGHAD J. DAAS², OMAR A. SAFARINI²

¹Medicine & Health Science Faculty Drug and Toxicology Division An-Najah National University, Nablus, 0970, Palestine

²Department of Medicine An-Najah National University, Nablus, 0970, Palestine

³Department of Medicine Gynecology Division UNRWA, Tulkarm, 0970, Palestine

For Correspondence:

Dr. Basma R. Damiri Medicine & Health Science Faculty Drug and Toxicology Division An-Najah National University, Nablus, 0970, Palestine Email: <u>bdamiri@najah.edu</u>

Abstract

Aim: To investigate the prevalence and the patterns of maternal smoking during pregnancy and to address specific adverse pregnancy outcomes among women in the north of the West Bank, Palestine. Methods: In a crosssectional study, 2066 pregnant women from the north of the West Bank were recruited to complete a selfadministered questionnaire modified from the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System. Results: Of the 1853 pregnant women who participated in this study, 8.1% were current smokers (7.2% waterpipe and 3.7% cigarettes), and 11.9% were former smokers (10.9% waterpipe and 2.8% cigarettes). Most of the current smokers (67.5%) and former smokers (78.5%) smoked daily. Pregnant smokers and former smokers were more likely than non-smokers to be exposed to second hand smoke at home, and were more likely to identify as refugees (p value <0.05). The logistic-regression model revealed that current smokers during pregnancy were more likely than nonsmokers to have increased gestational blood lipids and blood pressure, increased blood pressure before pregnancy, premature birth and low birth weight in the newborn (P value< 0.05). Former smokers were more likely than nonsmokers to have increased gestational blood lipids and at least one abortion case (P value <0.05). Conclusion: This study presents tobacco use during pregnancy as a particularly important public health problem. The high rate of maternal smoking during pregnancy and its adverse outcomes on both the mother and the child indicate the urgent need to develop continued and effective cessation strategies. Prevention programs should focus on refugee women, who are at highest risk of smoking.

Keywords: pregnancy, smoking, waterpipe, preterm, Palestine, refugees

تدخين التبغ بين الأمهات الفلسطينيات الحوامل ، خطر على النساء والأطفال حديثي الولادة

الهدف: التحقق من انتشار ونمط تدخين الأم أثناء الحمل وتحديد بعض الاضرار المرتبطة بالتدخين اثناء الحمل بين النساء الحوامل في شمال الضفة الغربية ، فلسطين.

الطريقة: في در اسة مستعرضة ، تم استقطاب 2066 من النساء الحوامل من شمال الضفة الغربية ، فلسطين لملء استبيان ذاتي الإدارة.

النتائج: من بين 1853 امرأة حامل شاركت في هذه الدراسة ، كانت 8.1٪ مدخنات حاليات (7.2٪ الشيشة و 3.7٪ سجائر) ، و 11.5٪ مدخنات سابقات (10.9٪ الشيشة و 2.8٪ سجائر). معظم المدخنات الحاليات (67.5٪) والمدخنات السابقات (78.5٪) يدخنون بشكل يومي. كانت النساء الحوامل المدخنات اثناء الحمل والمدخنات السابقات أكثر عرضة من غير المدخنات للتعرض للتدخين السلبي في المنزل. كشف نموذج الانحدار اللوجستي أن المدخنات الحاليات أثناء الحمل كانوا أكثر عرضة من غير المدخنات لزيادة الدهون في المرزل. كشف نموذج الانحدار ضغط الدم قبل الحمل ، وجود أطفال ذوي وزن منخفض عند الولادة ، والخدج (القيمة .(0.05) P كان المدخنات السابقات أكثر عرضة من غير المدهنات لريادة الدهون في الدم وزيادة ضغط الدم اثناء الحمل ، وزيادة ضغط الدم قبل الحمل ، وجود أطفال ذوي وزن منخفض عند الولادة ، والخدج (القيمة .(0.05) P كان المدخنات السابقات أكثر عرضة من غير المدهنات لارتفاع دهون الدم في الحمل ولديهن حالة إجهاض واحدة على الأقل قيمة.(0.05) P

الخلاصة: تعرض هذه الدراسة استخدام التبغ أثناء الحمل كمشكلة صحية عامة ذات أهمية خاصة. يشير المعدل المرتفع لتدخين الأم أثناء الحمل والنتائج السلبية على كل من الأم والطفل إلى الحاجة الملحة لتطوير استراتيجيات الإقلاع المستمرة والفعالة. يجب أن تركز برامج الوقاية على اللاجئات ، اللاتي يتعرضن لأعلى المخاطر في هذا الصدد.

Submitted: August 20, 2019 Revised: May 1, 2020 Accepted: October 6, 2020

Introduction

The increasing prevalence of tobacco smoking and its co-morbidities globally led to the initiation of many studies discussing this subject (World Health Organization, 2007). Tobacco smoking poses additional risks and threats for women, especially pregnant women, compared to men (Holland, 2015; McAfee & Burnette, 2014; Smit et al., 2019). Studies carried out among different ethnic groups have consistently revealed that maternal smoking affects the mother's health (Tettamanti, Ljung, Mathiesen, Schwartzbaum, & Feychting, 2016). It is among the leading preventable causes of adverse maternal and pre-and post-natal outcomes (Gutvirtz, Wainstock, Landau, & Sheiner, 2019; Murin, Rafii, & Bilello, 2011; Olds, Henderson, & Tatelbaum, 1994; Zheng et al., 2016). Maternal second hand smoke (SHS) and light active smoking have been associated with significant reductions in birth weight and head circumference of neonates (Al-Sheyab, Al-Fugha, Kheirallah, Khabour, & Alzoubi, 2016; Luciano et al., 1998). Women who smoke are more likely to have a preterm delivery, which is the leading cause of death, disability, and disease among newborns (Benjamin-2013; Leybovitz-Haleluya, Garner & Stotts. Wainstock, Landau, & Sheiner, 2018). Additionally, maternal smoking is considered a major predictor of childhood morbidity and mortality (Benjamin-Garner & Stotts, 2013; Dietz et al., 2010). Mothers who are exposed to SHS while pregnant are more likely to have babies with lower birth weights (Control, 2009; Nematollahi et al., 2018). Moreover, babies whose mothers smoke during pregnancy, as well as babies who are exposed to SHS after birth, are more likely to die from Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) than babies who are not exposed to cigarette smoke (Control, 2009; Dietz et al., 2010).

Multiple factors contribute to a prevalence in smoking among women (Kaufman & Augustson, 2008; Lopez, Collishaw, & Piha, 1994; Pampel, 2006; Torres & O'Dell, 2016; World Health Organization, 2007). Socioeconomic status (Wewers et al., 2012) and educational level (Kandel, Griesler, & Schaffran, 2009) are strong predictors of nicotine use in women. Tobacco companies and cigarette markets target women who are highly educated, young, and successful (Daou, Bou-Orm, & Adib, 2018; Jawad, McEwen, McNeill, & Shahab, 2013). They market women who smoke as strong, independent, sexy, and attractive (Kaufman & Augustson, 2008; Khalil et al., 2013). As a result, women in developing countries are at higher risk of disease and early death, as their economic and political status in society rises, leading them to smoke more often (Lopez et al., 1994; Pampel, 2006; World Health Organization, 2007). Clinical evidence suggests that women are more susceptible to anxiety disorders, and as a result, tend to smoke in order to cope with stress, more so than men (Torres & O'Dell, 2016). In addition, cessation programs have not always focused on continued cessation, as most of the health attention given to pregnant women is fetus-centered, with little attention to the psychosocial factors behind maternal tobacco use (Greaves & Jategaonkar, 2006).

Among Palestinians, smoking plays a direct role in the incidence of lung cancer (Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics, 2012). Despite the knowledge of health problems associated with smoking tobacco, its prevalence continues to increase in developing countries (Ghrayeb, Mohamed Rusli, Al Rifai, & Mohd Ismail, 2013), particularly in the West Bank (Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics, 2012). The prevalence of smoking in Palestine has typically been higher among adult males (40.7%) than adult females (3.2%), which is consistent with other countries in the region (World Health Organization, 2009). However, tobacco smoking among women has become an increasing problem in the West Bank over the last decade (Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics, 2012). Moreover, waterpipe smoking has recently been considered culturally and socially acceptable among Palestinian women (Tucktuck, Ghandour, & Abu-Rmeileh, 2017). In addition to concerns over the rising rates of active smoking among women, there is an existing epidemic of SHS. SHS is a major threat to the respiratory health of Palestinian children. In addition, SHS may lead to sudden death in certain cases among infants, which accounted for 4.6% of infant mortality in the Palestinian territories in 2012 (Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics, 2012). While the rate of tobacco use continues to be a growing problem among Palestinians in general and specifically women, research has not fully investigated maternal smoking during pregnancy and its complications among Palestinians. The increasing prevalence of tobacco use and its link to negative behaviors and adverse health outcomes for Palestinian women and children requires great attention. Awareness of these problems can contribute to improving the effectiveness of

smoking cessation programs and decreasing the risk of potential consequences. This study aimed to investigate the prevalence and patterns of maternal smoking during pregnancy, and to address specific adverse outcomes among pregnant women in the north of the West Bank, Palestine. Specific objectives were to determine the prevalence and the factors that contribute to smoking with regards to cigarettes and waterpipes among pregnant women, and to establish an association between maternal behviours and its effects on the child.

Materials and methods

Study design and setting

A cross-sectional study was conducted across health care clinics between September 2019 and January 2020 in the north of the West Bank, Palestine, to determine the prevalence and risks associated with maternal tobacco smoking among pregnant Palestinian women.

Population, sample size, and sampling technique

There are six governorates in the north of the West Bank, with a population totaling 1,121,239. 51.9% are adults between the ages of 18-70 years (50.9% males and 49.1% females) (Palestinian Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics, 2018). In order to give adult subjects an equal opportunity to participate in this study, the study area was stratified into six strata (governorates). Each stratum was then divided into five substrata: north, south, central, east, and west. To minimize selection bias, a proportional sample size was chosen based on the population size in each substrata (150 to 387 subjects), and then based on the number of clinics in each geographical area. Pregnant women who attended healthcare clinics in each substratum were recruited to participate in this study via local TV channels, flyers, social media, and public announcements. The research team resided in each clinic for at least 2 weeks; 7 days/week from 8 am to 5 pm, in order to allow a variety of subjects to participate. Once the research team determined that the subject met the inclusion criteria, to minimize selection bias, every third pregnant woman was chosen to participate in the study (N=2066).

Study tool

A self-administered questionnaire, modified from the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring

System (PRAMS) (Centers for Disease, 2016), was used. The questionnaire was translated into Arabic, as it is considered the official native language in Palestine, and back translated to English. Due to the stigma surrounding tobacco smoking among women, a pilot study was conducted to test recruitment and consent rates. The questionnaire was revised to achieve high validity and precise results. It was divided into four sections, including a) sociodemographics, b) practices and patterns of tobacco smoking, in the form of cigarettes and waterpipe, c) knowledge, attitudes, and motivations behind tobacco use during pregnancy, and d) special adverse outcomes of maternal tobacco smoking.

Operational definitions

A current smoker was defined as any woman who smoked cigarettes and/or waterpipe during their pregnancy. A former smoker was defined as any tobacco user who had quit smoking cigarettes and/or waterpipe during or before their pregnancy. A nonsmoker was defined as any woman who had not smoked cigarettes and/or waterpipe in their lifetime. A Palestinian refugee was defined as any person whose normal place of residence was Palestine during the period from June 1946 to May 1948, who lost both their home and means of livelihood as a result of the 1948 conflict (United Nations Relief and Work Agency, 2018b), and who live in one of 19 camps in the West Bank.

Data analysis

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (version 22, IBM Corporation) was used. A multinomial logistic regression analysis was conducted to evaluate the relative risk by generating the odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical consideration

The study was carried out in accordance with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board at An-Najah National University prior to the start of the research. All study participants freely accepted to participate in the study. Due to the stigma surrounding women's use of cigarettes in the West Bank, confidentiality was maintained in all written and oral communications; the questionnaire was anonymous and did not include any questions that could lead to personal identification.

Results

General characteristics

A sample of 2,066 pregnant women from the north of the West Bank were recruited to complete a self-administered questionnaire. The response rate was high (92%). Partially-filled questionnaires that are filled with less than 50% of the questions were discarded (48 questionnaires). The final sample size consisted of 1,853 pregnant women, ranging between 15-47 years of age, with a mean age of 27.4 years. 765 (42.1%) women lived in an urban area, 955 (52.5%) women lived in a rural area, and 99 (5.4%) women were refugees. Most participants (85.1%) were unemployed, and 53.1% had an undergraduate degree or higher (Table 1).

Table 1

Socio-demographic characteristics of all participants

	n (%)
Residency	
City	765 (42.1)
Village	955 (52.5)
Refugee Camp	99 (5.4)
Educational level	
High school or less	857 (46.9)
Undergraduate or more	970 (53.1)
Current Job	
Unemployed	1561 (85.1)
Employee	273 (14.9)
Marital Status	
Married	1818 (98.6)
Widow	13 (0.7)
Divorced	12 (0.7)
Mean age ±SD	27.4 ±5.9

Prevalence, patterns, initiation age, and motivations of tobacco smoking among pregnant women

The prevalence of current maternal tobacco smoking during pregnancy was 8.1%. The prevalence of waterpipe smoking was higher, at 7.2%, compared to cigarette smoking at 3.7%. Moreover, 11.9% of participants were former smokers (10.9% for waterpipe and 2.8% for cigarettes) (Table 2). The majority of former smokers (74.6%) quit smoking in the first trimester. The mean initiation age for cigarette smoking was 20.33 years, and 22.49 years for waterpipe. The majority of both current smokers (67.5%) and former smokers (78.5%) smoked cigarettes on a daily basis. On the other hand, only 16.8% of current smokers and 33.3% of former smokers smoked waterpipe on a daily basis or several times per week (33.6% and 43.8%; respectively). Most pregnant women recorded an exposure to SHS to cigarettes (63.6%) while 38.2% recorded an exposure to waterpipe smoking at home, and 74.5% were exposed to SHS from other places. Although most smokers were knowledgeable about the harmful effects of smoking on their health (92.7%) and the health of their fetus (90.8%), only 56.6% thought to quit smoking. The most frequent motives for smoking among pregnant women included an addiction to nicotine (48.8%), to relieve stress (41.0%), pressure from husband (31.1%), or because smoking is now socially acceptable for women (20.1%). Most of the former smokers (64.8%) quit smoking because they knew the side effects of tobacco smoking, while 34.1% of them quit smoking due to socioeconomic factors. Only 24.4% quit because they had a disease that forced them to quit (Table 2).

Table 2

Prevalence, patterns, initiation age, and motivation of smoking tobacco among pregnant women

Current	Former smokers n
n (%)	(%)
69 (3.7)	51 (2.8)
134 (7.2)	201 (10.9)
151 (8.1)	222 (11.9)
1179	
(63.6)	
707	
(38.2)	
1380	
(74.5)	
	Current n (%) 69 (3.7) 134 (7.2) 151 (8.1) 1179 (63.6) 707 (38.2) 1380 (74.5)

Pattern of	Daily	Several	Several	Several
use		times/	times/	times/
	_	week	month	pregnancy
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)

Current	44 (2.4)	15 (0.8)	3 (0.2)	5 (0.3)		
cigarette		- ()		- ()		
smokers						
Current	20(1.1)	40 (2.2)	34 (1.8)	30 (1.7)		
waterpipe	~ /	× /	~ /			
smokers						
Cigarette	74 (4.0)	12 (0.6)	8 (0.4)	NA		
former	. ,	. ,	. ,			
smokers						
Water pipe	86 (4.6)	114 (6.2)	56 (3.0)	NA		
former	,		()			
smokers						
Exposure to	856	42 (2 3)	15 (0.8)	3 (0 2)		
cigarette	(46.2)	42 (2.3)	15 (0.0)	5 (0.2)		
second hand	(40.2)					
smoke						
smoking						
Exposure to	401	108 (5.8)	41 (2, 2)	3 (0.2)		
water pipe	(21.6)	100 (5.0)	11 (2.2)	3 (0.2)		
second hand	(=1.0)					
smoke						
Motivations a	nd knowled	lge				
I smoke becau	se I'm addic	ted to	153			
nicotine			(48.4)			
I smoke because			63			
smoking has become			(20.1)			
socially acceptable			× /			
I smoke to reli	eve stress		128			
			(41.0)			
I smoke to lose	e weight		11 (3.6)			
I smoke because one of my parents			39			
smokes			(12.7)			
My husband motivates me to smoke			96			
-			(31.1)			
I smoke becau	se my friend	ls offered	73			
me tobacco be	fore		(23.5)			
I smoke to feel	l stronger an	d for	22 (7.1)			
prestige	-					
One day, I tho	ught to quit	smoking	159			
-	-	-	(56.6)			
I know smokir	ng is harmfu	l to my	290			
health			(92.7)			
I know the har	I know the harmfulness of smoking is					
higher when I'm pregnant			(90.8)			
I know smokir	ng is harmfu	l to my	285			
fetus' health			(90.8)			
I read the med	ical warning	s on the	232			
pack of the cig	garette		(77.3)			
Motivation to	Motivation to quit smoking					
I did not like it	t		34			
			(39.1)			

I know the side effects of it	57
	(64.8)
It is expensive	30
	(34.1)
It is socially unacceptable	30
	(34.1)
I have a disease that forced me to	21
quit	(24.4)
Mean initiation age of cigarettes	20.33±
smoking ±SD	3.11
Mean initiation age of waterpipe	$22.49 \pm$
smoking ±SD	4.92
TA NT / A 11.1.1	

NA: Not Available

Association between smoking and adverse outcomes

For participants, increased blood pressure (8.0%), increased blood sugar (4.3%), and increased blood lipids (2.2%) were higher during pregnancy than before pregnancy (1.9%, 0.9%, and 1.3% respectively) (Table 3). Moreover, 25.5% of participants had at least one abortion; 14.2% had at least one child with a low birth weight (<2500 grams); 2.6% had at least one stillbirth; 1.6% had at least one child that died immediately after birth; and 2.7% had at least one preterm birth (Table 3).

Table 3

Maternal and children's adverse outcomes for all participants

Maternal and fetus or newborn outcomes	n (%)
High blood pressure	
Before pregnancy	35 (1.9)
During pregnancy	146 (8.0)
Diabetes mellitus	
Before pregnancy	17 (0.9)
During pregnancy	78 (4.3)
Increased blood lipids	
Before pregnancy	23 (1.3)
During pregnancy	40 (2.2)
At least one abortion case in the first five	468 (25.5)
months of pregnancy	
At least one preterm case	50 (2.7)
At least low birth weight baby (<2500 grams)	208 (14.2)
At least one baby born dead	47 (2.6)
At least one baby died immediately after birth	30 (1.6)
At least one child died before 5 years of age	9 (0.5)

The logistic-regression model revealed that women who smoke during pregnancy were more likely than non-smokers to have increased gestational blood lipids (OR: 5.109, P-value: 0.001) and blood pressure (OR: 2.767, P-value: <0.001), as well as increased blood pressure before pregnancy (OR: 2.88, P-value: 0.047). They were also at a higher risk than non-smokers of having infants with low birth weights (OR: 1.66, P-value: 0.048), and having preterm infants (OR: 2.959, P-value: 0.011). Former smokers were more likely than non-smokers to have increased gestational blood lipids (OR: 4.639, P-value: 0.001). They were also more likely to have at least one abortion case (OR: 1.429, P-value: 0.047). Pregnant women who smoked were more likely than nonsmokers to be exposed to SHS at home (OR: 9.138) and in public (OR: 2.838), while former smokers were more likely to be exposed to SHS only at home (OR: 2.838) (P-value <0.001). Former smokers were generally younger (OR: 0.96, P value 0.002) and more educated (OR: 1.47, P-value 0.016) than nonsmokers. Women who smoked were more likely to be refugees (OR: 2.13, P-value 0.008), while smokers and former smokers were less likely to live in a rural area (OR: 0.423, P-value <0.001). Moreover, the majority of smokers and ex-smokers were employed, in comparison to non-smokers (P-value: <0.001) (Table 4).

Table 4

Multinomial logistic regression for risk of maternal tobacco smoking

Current		Odds	95%	Р
smoker*		ratio	Confiden	value
			ce	
			interval	
Age		1.030	0.998-	0.062
			1.063	
Gestation	Yes	0.751	0.351-	0.518
al	No	Referen	1.788	
diabetes		ce		
		group		
Diabetes	Yes	0.695	0.116-	0.691
before	No	Referen	4.162	
pregnanc		ce		
У		group		
Gestation	Yes	5.109	2.0333-	0.001
al	No		13.030	
lipidemia				

		DC		
		Referen		
		ce		
		group		
Lipidemia	Yes	2.010	0.586-	0.267
before	No	Referen	6.896	
pregnanc		ce		
У		group		
Gestation	Yes	2.767	1.614-	< 0.00
al high	No	Referen	4.747	1
blood		ce		
pressure		group		
High	Yes	2.880	1.016-	0.047
blood	No	Referen	8.162	
pressure		ce		
before		group		
nregnanc		Browp		
v				
J Blooding	Vas	0.070	0.401	0.030
during	No	0.970 Deferen	0.491-	0.950
uuring	INO	Keleleli	1.910	
pregnanc		ce		
<u>y</u>	*7	group	0.550	0.407
At least	Yes	1.481	0.550-	0.437
one child	No	Referen	3.989	
was born		ce		
dead		group		
At least	Yes	1.664	1.006-	0.048
one low	No	Referen	2.755	
birth		ce		
weight		group		
infant				
At least	Yes	2.959	1.288-	0.011
one	No	Referen	6.799	
preterm		ce		
infant		group		
At least	Yes	0.603	0.062-	0.663
one child	No	Referen	5.877	
died		ce		
before the		group		
age of 5		0 1		
vears				
At least	Yes	2.746	0.990-	0.052
one child	No	Referen	7.617	0.002
died	110		7.017	
immediat		group		
alv ofter		group		
ely alter				
	Vee	1 270	0.012	0.127
Atleast	1 es	1.3/9 Deferrer	0.912-	0.127
one	INO	Keieren	2.085	
abortion		ce		
case		group		
Exposure	Yes	9.138	3.543-	< 0.00
to second	No		23.567	1

hand		Referen		
smoke at		ce		
home		group		
Exposure	Yes	4.810	2.059-	< 0.00
to second	No	Referen	11.237	1
hand		ce		
smoke		group		
outside		C I		
the home				
Education	High school	1.238	0.850-	0.266
al level	or less	Referen	1.804	
	Undergradu	ce	1.001	
	ate or more	group		
Residency	Village	0.423	(0.292-	<0.00
Restucincy	Camp	2 138	0.611)	1
	City	Referen	(1.216-	0.008
	City	ce	3 758)	0.000
		oroup	5.750)	
Working	Unomployo	0 201	(0.206	<0.00
statuc	d	0.501 Doforon	(0.200 - 0.440)	<0.00 1
status	u Employed	Keleleli	0.440)	1
	Employed	ce		
		group		
Former				
smokers				
Age		0.967	0.939- 0.99:	0.022
Gestation	Yes	1.601	0.787-3.259	0.194
al	No	Referen		
diabetes		ce		
		group		
Diabetes	Yes	2.324	0.595-	0.225
before	No	Referen	9.079	
pregnanc		ce		
У		group		
Gestation	Yes	4.639	1.888-	0.001
al	No	Referen	11.400	
lipidemia		ce		
		group		
Lipidemia	Yes	1.170	0.294-	0.157
before	No	Referen	4.662	
pregnanc		ce		
У		group		
		-		
Gestation	Yes	1.089	0.605-	0.776
al high	No	Referen	1.959	
blood		ce		
pressure		group		
-		U 1		
High	Yes	0.293	0.035-	0.254
blood	No		2.419	
bioou	110			

before		Referen		
pregnanc		ce		
у		group		
Bleeding	Yes	1.149	0.654-	0.630
during	No	Referen	2.017	
pregnanc		ce		
 y		group		
At least	Yes	0.897	0.290-	0.580
one child	No	Referen	2.777	
was born		ce		
dead		group		
At least	Yes	1.381	0.440-	0.067
one low	No	Referen	4.333	
birth		ce		
weight		group		
child		- 1		
At least	Yes	1.920	0.825-	0.130
one	No	Referen	4.465	
preterm		ce		
baby		group		
At least	Yes	0.635	0.071-	0.685
one child	No	Referen	5.704	
died		ce		
before the		group		
age of 5		-		
years				
At least	Yes	1.381	0.440-	0.580
one child	No	Referen	4.333	
died		ce		
immediat		group		
ely after				
birth				
Exposure	Yes	2.838	1.780-	< 0.00
to second	No	Referen	4.526	1
hand		ce		
smoke		group		
smoking				
at home				
Exposure	Yes	1.466	0.956-	0.079
to second	No	Referen	2.247	
hand		ce		
smoke		group		
outside				
the home				
At least	Yes	1.429	1.005-	0.047
one	No	Referen	2.032	
abortion		ce		
case		group		
Education	High school	0.68	0.497-	0.016
al level	or less	Referen	0.930	
	Undergradu	ce		
	ate or more	group		

Residency	Village	0.46	(0.34-	< 0.00	
	Camp	1.378	0.623)	1	
	City	Referen	(0.787-	0.26	
		ce	2.415)		
		group			
Working	Unemploye	0.47	(0.332-	< 0.00	
status	d	Referen	0.675)	1	
	Employed	ce			
		group			

*Reference groups are non-smokers

Discussion

There were several remarkable findings that are reported in this study. First, we found higher prevalence of maternal smoking during pregnancy (8.1%) compared to previous research in the West Bank. The lack of previous research was one of the most salient constraints in predicting a change in the prevalence of maternal smoking during pregnancy and its associated risks in this area. Therefore, we compared our results with the percentage of women who smoked between 15-29 years of age in the West Bank (1.4%) in 2010 (Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics, 2013), as well as the 15-65 age group (4.0%) (Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics, 2012). Second, the prevalence of maternal waterpipe smoking during pregnancy surpassed the prevalence of cigarette smoking during pregnancy, suggesting that waterpipe smoking should be considered as dangerous as cigarette smoking with regards to current statistics. Moreover, most of the pregnant smokers and former smokers smoked on a daily basis or several times per week. Nearly half of the participants who smoke think they are addicted to nicotine, although more than half have a desire to quit smoking. Half of the smokers quit smoking in the first trimester after learning they were pregnant. Given these results, we recommend that primary health care systems should work on providing prevention, treatment, and recovery support services for pregnant female smokers and former smokers.

Personal factors such as knowledge, risk perception, attitudes, motivation, and social influence could influence both smoking and the desire to quit smoking (Radley et al., 2013). Although the majority of pregnant women in this study were knowledgeable about the dangers smoking poses on their health and the health of their fetuses, a significant number of women continued to smoke during pregnancy. Previous literature focuses on developing countries, alternative factors have also been noted to explain the increase in smoking among women in general. This may also apply to the current situation among pregnant women in the West Bank (Kaufman & Augustson, 2008; Lopez et al., 1994; Pampel, 2006; Torres & O'Dell, 2016; World Health Organization, 2007). In agreement with previous studies, the results of this study showed that the majority of pregnant women smoked as a coping mechanism for stress, and refugee women in particular were more likely to smoke than women living in urban or rural areas (Greaves & Jategaonkar, 2006; Lopez et al., 1994; Pampel, 2006; Torres & O'Dell, 2016; World Health Organization, 2007). Palestinian refugees who were displaced from the Palestinian area after the war in 1948 to other Palestinian areas have lived in camps in the West Bank (19 camps) and Gaza (8 camps) since 1949. They suffer from common daily life stressors, including; high rates of unemployment, low income, poverty, poor infrastructure, and high population density (United Nations Relief and Work Agency, 2018a). They are at an increased risk of violence, abuse, exploitation, and neglect across the region. The results from this study are consistent with several previous studies with different age groups in the West Bank (Basma Damiri, 2019; Basma Damiri, Salahat, & Aghbar, 2018; Massad et al., 2016). Refugees may be particularly vulnerable to psychoactive substance use for different reasons, including coping with traumatic experiences, and social and economic inequality (Basma Damiri, 2019; Basma Damiri, Sayeh, Odeh, & Musmar, 2018). Refugees have a higher propensity to transgress laws and social norms than individuals living in rural and urban areas. With the increasing number of refugees in the Middle East due to political conflict, more studies and attention should be paid to this vulnerable group. Early intervention could be vital to directing a pregnant refugee woman's life path away from high-risk behaviors such as smoking during pregnancy. Other factors include family and social-peer pressure. Around one-third of the pregnant women in the present study started as a result of pressure from their husbands. It is known that smoking is more acceptable for married women than single women within eastern culture. This could also explain the late initiation age for cigarette smoking (20.3 years) and waterpipe smoking (22.5 years) among Palestinian women, compared to men (12-14 years) (Basma Damiri, Salahat, et al., 2018; Basma Damiri, Sandouka, Janini,

& Yaish, 2019; Massad et al., 2016). The results of this study support those of previous studies in which highly educated and employed women were more likely to smoke tobacco (Daou et al., 2018; Jawad et al., 2013; Kandel et al., 2009; Kaufman & Augustson, 2008; Khalil et al., 2013; Wewers et al., 2012). These results indicate that the social and cultural norms that have traditionally prevented Palestinian women from smoking are weakening, especially for educated and employed women, rendering smoking among women as socially acceptable (Abu Shomar, Lubbad, El Ansari, Al-Khatib, & Alharazin, 2014; WHO, 2007).

It is well established that maternal smoking is a major predictor of adverse maternal and pre and post-natal outcomes (Benjamin-Garner & Stotts, 2013; Dietz et al., 2010; Murin et al., 2011; Nematollahi et al., 2018). Smoking exerts harmful effects during every stage of pregnancy (Jauniaux & Burton, 2007). There is a consensus in the literature regarding the role of maternal smoking during pregnancy in higher preterm birth rates and low birth weights (Benjamin-Garner & Stotts, 2013; Kharkova, Grjibovski, Krettek, Nieboer, & Odland, 2017; Kondracki & Hofferth, 2019; Moore, Blatt, Chen, Van Hook, & DeFranco, 2016; Pereira, Da Mata, Figueiredo, de Andrade, & Pereira, 2017; Sema et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2016). The most vulnerable window for smoking behavior during pregnancy is late in the first trimester and early in the second trimester (Kondracki & Hofferth, 2019). In addition, cessation of smoking before or during the first trimester may be regarded as an effective means of harm reduction (Lumley et al., 2009; Raisanen et al., 2014; Yan & Groothuis, 2015). Mothers who quit smoking or reduced their smoking intensity in this period bore infants of the same weight as those infants of non-smokers, while smoking cessation in the fourth month or at any time beyond was associated with substantially lower infant birth weights (Moore et al., 2016; Yan & Groothuis, 2015). The multinomial logistic regression results of this study revealed the detrimental effects of smoking during pregnancy on selected mothers and their fetuses. The results of this study showed that being a smoker during pregnancy increased the risk of low birth weight and preterm birth, while former smokers were at higher risk of preterm birth compared to non-smokers, as supported by previous studies. Premature or low birth weight accounted for 44% of child deaths in Palestine (United Nations International Children's Emergency, 2011). Low birth weight is correlated with multiple additional health concerns, ranging from low oxygen level at birth to increased risk for diabetes, hypertension (Knop et al., 2018), and intellectual and developmental disabilities (Takeuchi et al., 2018). Further investigation on the relationship between maternal smoking and premature or low birth weight in Palestinian infants is recommended.

Smoking during pregnancy also increases the risk of complications. Pregnant women who smoke could develop physiological dyslipidemia (Abuhandan et al., 2012; Bizon & Milnerowicz, 2017; Dathan-Stumpf et al., 2019; Vrijkotte et al., 2012). The results of this study demonstrated that both smokers and former smokers were around five times more likely to develop dyslipidemia, compared to non-smokers. Women who were active smokers were more likely to be at risk for high blood pressure before and during pregnancy, thereby exposing them to a higher risk of cardiovascular disease. The increasing prevalence and frequency of tobacco smoking during pregnancy indicates that the response by society and the healthcare system with regards to this epidemic is inadequate. More attention should be given to smoking during pregnancy and its link to adverse health effects on pregnant Palestinian women and infants

Exposure to SHS is an important public health burden. The high rate of smoking among males in Palestine may contribute to a large number of women and children being exposed to SHS (Abuelaish, Seidenberg, Kennedy, & Rees, 2013). Studies conducted in the Gaza Strip have found associations between low birth weight and infant mortality with (Abuqamar, Coomans, & Louckx, 2011; SHS Abusalah et al., 2012). Most of the pregnant women in this study were exposed to SHS at home and at work. Smokers and former smokers were at higher risk of exposure to SHS, and they were also at higher risk of adverse maternal and child outcomes than nonsmokers. This calls for particular attention to pregnant women who smoke through counseling and health education. Moreover, enacted and enforced comprehensive smoke-free policies, which prohibit smoking in enclosed public places, are strongly recommended to protect non-smokers in general and pregnant women in particular.

Strengths and limitations

This is the first study conducted to investigate tobacco smoking among pregnant women in the West Bank, Palestine. The results of this study have important clinical implications, which support the benefits of smoking cessation before or during the first trimester. Nonetheless, several limitations should be considered when interpreting the data. While this is a representative sample of adults in the northern West Bank, it does not necessarily represent the general Palestinian population. It provides a framework surrounding the situation of tobacco smoking, the patterns and the behaviors among women in a Palestinian context. In light of the high rates of cigarette and waterpipe smoking among pregnant women across different areas, more research is needed, especially in the southern West Bank. The lack of prior research studies among pregnant women was the greatest constraint when attempting to predict a change in smoking prevalence and its associated risks. In addition, due to the stigma surrounding tobacco smoking for women, an anonymous selfreport behavioral survey was used. Self-report questionnaires, which are generally used for computing smoking statistics, provide underestimated outcomes compared to the actual smoking prevalence, especially among women and adolescents (Park, Kim, Nam, & Hong, 2014; Wong, Shields, Leatherdale, Malaison, & Hammond, 2012).

Conclusion

The findings of this study showed that the prevalence of maternal active and SHS was high among pregnant Palestinian women, indicating their heightened risk for complications during pregnancy and child birth. The study found that being a smoker during pregnancy increased the risk of preterm birth and low birth weight. In addition, gestational dyslipidemia was associated with current and previous smoking. Although most women were knowledgeable about the risks associated with smoking on themselves and their fetus, a significant number continued smoking. Moreover, despite high cessation rates during pregnancy, it was previously demonstrated that high postpartum relapse rates still exist (Greaves & Jategaonkar, 2006). The findings of this study suggest a need to further integrate tobacco smoking prevention strategies and treatment into services offered to pregnant women, especially refugee women, in the West Bank. This demonstrates the critical importance of pursuing cessation strategies as part of a comprehensive approach, and its direct benefits in terms of reducing morbidity and mortality. Since pregnancy is often a time when women have more frequent contact with the healthcare system, healthcare providers must focus on smoking cessation among pregnant women (Yan & Groothuis, 2015). Based on the findings of the current study, we recommend counseling interventions, health education, and social support programs that promote continual attempts to quit smoking as a means of harm reduction. Future studies need to be conducted to tackle some of the above variables independently.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the respondents for their participation in this work. The authors are also very thankful to all those who facilitated the conduction of this study within the health care clinics.

Compliance with Ethical Standards Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. No funding was received for this study.

Ethical Approval

All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of University's Research Ethics Board, the American Psychological Association (APA, 2010) and with the 1975 Helsinki Declaration.

Informed Consent

Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Availability of data and materials

Most data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this manuscript. Other data that support the findings of this study and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

Abu Shomar, R. T., Lubbad, I. K., El Ansari, W., Al-Khatib, I. A., & Alharazin, H. J. (2014). Smoking, awareness of smoking-associated health risks, and knowledge of national tobacco legislation in Gaza, Palestine. *Cent Eur J Public Health*, 22(2), 80-89. doi:10.21101/cejph.a4005

- Abuelaish, I., Seidenberg, A. B., Kennedy, R. D., & Rees, V. W. (2013). Second-hand smoke and indoor air quality in public places in Gaza city/Tabagisme passif et qualite de l'air interieur dans des lieux publics de la ville de Gaza. *Eastern Mediterranean health journal*, *19*, 447+.
- Abuhandan, M., Cakmak, A., Taskin, A., Karakaya, E., Kocyigit, A., & Kilic, H. (2012). The effect of age on the paraoxonase and arylesterase activity of pregnant mothers and their infants. *Journal of Clinical Laboratory Analysis*, *26*(4), 302-306. doi:10.1002/jcla.21521
- Abuqamar, M., Coomans, D., & Louckx, F. (2011). Health behaviour and health awareness in infant mortality in the Gaza Strip. *European Journal of Public Health*, 22(4), 539-544. doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckr105
- Abusalah, A., Gavana, M., Haidich, A. B., Smyrnakis, E., Papadakis, N., Papanikolaou, A., & Benos, A. (2012). Low birth weight and prenatal exposure to indoor pollution from tobacco smoke and wood fuel smoke: a matched case-control study in Gaza Strip. *Matern Child Health J*, 16(8), 1718-1727. doi:10.1007/s10995-011-0851-4
- Al-Sheyab, N. A., Al-Fuqha, R. A., Kheirallah, K.
 A., Khabour, O. F., & Alzoubi, K. H. (2016).
 Anthropometric measurements of newborns of women who smoke waterpipe during pregnancy: a comparative retrospective design. *Inhalation toxicology*, 28(13), 629-635. doi:10.1080/08958378.2016.1244227
- Benjamin-Garner, R., & Stotts, A. (2013). Impact of smoking exposure change on infant birth weight among a cohort of women in a prenatal smoking cessation study. *Nicotine* and Tobacco Research, 15(3), 685-692. doi:10.1093/ntr/nts184
- Bizon, A., & Milnerowicz, H. (2017). The effect of passive and active exposure to tobacco smoke on lipid profile parameters and the activity of certain membrane enzymes in the blood of women in the first trimester of

pregnancy. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*, 53, 74-80. doi:10.1016/j.etap.2017.04.018

- Centers for Disease, C. (2016). Pregnancy riskassessment monitoring system (PRAMS): Questionnaire.phase 4 (2000--2003) core questions, phase 4 (2000--2003) standard questions, phase 5 (2004--2008) core questions, and phase 5 (2004--2008) standard questions. Retrieved from <u>https://www.cdc.gov/PRAMS/Questionnaire.</u> <u>htm</u>
- Control, C. f. D. (2009). State-specific secondhand smoke exposure and current cigarette smoking among adults-United States, 2008. *The Journal of the American Medical Association, 302*(24), 2654-2656.
- Damiri, B. (2019). The use of psychoactive substances in a conflict area in the West Bank: Drug use risk factors and practices in Palestinian refugee camps. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. doi:10.1007/s11469-019-00183-1
- Damiri, B., Salahat, I., & Aghbar, M. (2018). Pattern of substance use among schoolchildren in Palestine: a cross-sectional study. *Egyptian Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 8(1), 59. doi:10.1186/s41935-018-0090-6
- Damiri, B., Sandouka, H. N., Janini, E. H., & Yaish, O. N. (2019). Prevalence and associated factors of psychoactive substance use among university students in the West Bank, Palestine. *Drugs: Education, Prevention and Policy*, 1-10.

doi:10.1080/09687637.2019.1591341

- Damiri, B., Sayeh, W., Odeh, M., & Musmar, H. (2018). Drug use and possession, emerging of new psychoactive substances in the West Bank, Palestine. *Egyptian Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 8(1), 42. doi:10.1186/s41935-018-0074-6
- Daou, K. N., Bou-Orm, I. R., & Adib, S. M. (2018). Factors associated with waterpipe tobacco smoking among Lebanese women. Women & health, 58(10), 1124-1134. doi:10.1080/03630242.2017.1414102
- Dathan-Stumpf, A., Vogel, M., Jank, A., Thiery, J., Kiess, W., & Stepan, H. (2019). Reference intervals of serum lipids in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy in a Caucasian cohort: the LIFE Child study. *Archives of*

- Dietz, P. M., England, L. J., Shapiro-Mendoza, C.
 K., Tong, V. T., Farr, S. L., & Callaghan, W.
 M. (2010). Infant morbidity and mortality attributable to prenatal smoking in the U.S. *Am J Prev Med*, *39*(1), 45-52.
 doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2010.03.009
- Ghrayeb, F., Mohamed Rusli, A., Al Rifai, A., & Mohd Ismail, I. (2013). Youth in trouble: tobacco use among school students in Palestine. *Canadian Journal of Basic and Applied Science, 1*(2), 58-67.
- Greaves, L., & Jategaonkar, N. (2006). Tobacco policies and vulnerable girls and women: toward a framework for gender sensitive policy development. *Journal of epidemiology and community health, 60 Suppl* 2(Suppl 2), 57-65. doi:10.1136/jech.2005.045393
- Gutvirtz, G., Wainstock, T., Landau, D., & Sheiner, E. (2019). Maternal smoking during pregnancy and long-term neurological morbidity of the offspring. *Addictive Behaviors*, 88, 86-91. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2018.08.013
- Holland, A. C. (2015). Smoking Is a women's health Iissue across the life cycle. *Nurs Womens Health, 19*(2), 189-193. doi:10.1111/1751-486x.12191
- Jauniaux, E., & Burton, G. J. (2007). Morphological and biological effects of maternal exposure to tobacco smoke on the feto-placental unit. *Early Human Development, 83*(11), 699-706. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.200</u> 7.07.016
- Jawad, M., McEwen, A., McNeill, A., & Shahab, L. (2013). To what extent should waterpipe tobacco smoking become a public health priority? *Addiction*, *108*(11), 1873-1884. doi:10.1111/add.12265
- Kandel, D. B., Griesler, P. C., & Schaffran, C. (2009). Educational attainment and smoking among women: risk factors and consequences for offspring. *Drug and alcohol dependence*, 104, S24-S33.
- Kaufman, A. R., & Augustson, E. M. (2008). Predictors of regular cigarette smoking among adolescent females: does body image matter? *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*, *10*(8), 1301-1309.

- Khalil, J., Afifi, R., Fouad, F. M., Hammal, F., Jarallah, Y., Mohamed, M., & Nakkash, R. (2013). Women and waterpipe tobacco smoking in the eastern Mediterranean region: allure or offensiveness. *Women and Health*, 53(1), 100-116.
- Kharkova, O. A., Grjibovski, A. M., Krettek, A., Nieboer, E., & Odland, J. O. (2017). Effect of smoking behavior before and during pregnancy on selected birth outcomes among singleton full-term pregnancy: A Murmansk County birth registry study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14(8). doi:10.3390/ijerph14080867
- Knop, M. R., Geng, T. T., Gorny, A. W., Ding, R., Li, C., Ley, S. H., & Huang, T. (2018). Birth Weight and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, Cardiovascular Disease, and Hypertension in Adults: A Meta-Analysis of 7 646 267 Participants From 135 Studies. J Am Heart Assoc, 7(23), e008870. doi:10.1161/jaha.118.008870
- Kondracki, A. J., & Hofferth, S. L. (2019). A gestational vulnerability window for smoking exposure and the increased risk of preterm birth: how timing and intensity of maternal smoking matter. *Reproductive Health*, *16*(1), 43. doi:10.1186/s12978-019-0705-x
- Leybovitz-Haleluya, N., Wainstock, T., Landau, D., & Sheiner, E. (2018). Maternal smoking during pregnancy and the risk of pediatric cardiovascular diseases of the offspring: A population-based cohort study with up to 18years of follow up. *Reproductive Toxicology*, 78, 69-74.

doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2018.0</u> 3.009

Lopez, A. D., Collishaw, N. E., & Piha, T. (1994). A descriptive model of the cigarette epidemic in developed countries. *Tobacco Control*, *3*(3), 242-247.

Luciano, A., Bolognani, M., Biondani, P., Ghizzi, C., Zoppi, G., & Signori, E. (1998). The influence of maternal passive and light active smoking on intrauterine growth and body composition of the newborn. *EuropeanJournal of Clinical Nutrition*, 52(10), 760-763. doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1600643

- Lumley, J., Chamberlain, C., Dowswell, T., Oliver, S., Oakley, L., & Watson, L. (2009). Interventions for promoting smoking cessation during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Systemic Review*(3), Cd001055. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001055.pub3
- Massad, S. G., Shaheen, M., Karam, R., Brown, R., Glick, P., Linnemay, S., & Khammash, U. (2016). Substance use among Palestinian youth in the West Bank, Palestine: a qualitative investigation. *BMC Public Health*, *16*(1), 800. doi:10.1186/s12889-016-3472-4
- McAfee, T., & Burnette, D. (2014). The impact of smoking on women's health. *Journal of Womens Health (Larchmt), 23*(11), 881-885. doi:10.1089/jwh.2014.4983
- Moore, E., Blatt, K., Chen, A., Van Hook, J., & DeFranco, E. A. (2016). Relationship of trimester-specific smoking patterns and risk of preterm birth. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 215(1), 109.e101-106. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2016.01.167
- Murin, S., Rafii, R., & Bilello, K. (2011). Smoking and smoking cessation in pregnancy. *Clin Chest Med*, 32(1), 75-91. doi:10.1016/j.ccm.2010.11.004
- Nematollahi, S., Mansournia, M. A., Foroushani, A.
 R., Mahmoodi, M., Alavi, A., Shekari, M., &
 Holakouie-Naieni, K. (2018). The effects of water-pipe smoking on birth weight: a population-based prospective cohort study in southern Iran. *Epidemiol Health*, 40, e2018008. doi:10.4178/epih.e2018008
- Olds, D. L., Henderson, C. R., & Tatelbaum, R. (1994). Intellectual impairment in children of women who smoke cigarettes during pregnancy. *Pediatrics*, *93*(2), 221-227.
- Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics. (2012). International day of giving up smoking (Word no tobacco day) on 31/5/2012 [Press release]. Retrieved from <u>http://www.pcbs.gov.ps/Portals/_pcbs/PressR</u> <u>elease/MoH&PCBSSmoke2012E.pdf</u>
- Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics. (2013). Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics 2013. Final report of the Palestinian family survey 2010. Ramallah – State of Palestine. Retrieved from

http://www.pcbs.gov.ps/Downloads/book194 1.pdf

Palestinian Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics. (2018). Preliminary Results of the Population, Housing and Establishments Census, 2017. Retrieved from Ramallah, Palestine: <u>http://www.pcbs.gov.ps/portals/_pcbs/PressR</u> <u>elease/Press_En_Preliminary_Results_Report</u> <u>-en-with-tables.pdf</u>

- Pampel, F. C. (2006). Global patterns and determinants of sex differences in smoking. *International journal of comparative sociology*, 47(6), 466-487. doi:10.1177/0020715206070267
- Park, M. B., Kim, C.-B., Nam, E. W., & Hong, K. S. (2014). Does South Korea have hidden female smokers: discrepancies in smoking rates between self-reports and urinary cotinine level. *BMC Women's Health*, 14(1), 156. doi:10.1186/s12905-014-0156-z
- Pereira, P. P., Da Mata, F. A., Figueiredo, A. C., de Andrade, K. R., & Pereira, M. G. (2017).
 Maternal Active Smoking During Pregnancy and Low Birth Weight in the Americas: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*, 19(5), 497-505. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntw228
- Radley, A., Ballard, P., Eadie, D., MacAskill, S., Donnelly, L., & Tappin, D. (2013). Give It Up For Baby: outcomes and factors influencing uptake of a pilot smoking cessation incentive scheme for pregnant women. *BMC Public Health*, *13*, 343-343. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-13-343
- Raisanen, S., Sankilampi, U., Gissler, M., Kramer, M. R., Hakulinen-Viitanen, T., Saari, J., & Heinonen, S. (2014). Smoking cessation in the first trimester reduces most obstetric risks, but not the risks of major congenital anomalies and admission to neonatal care: a population-based cohort study of 1,164,953 singleton pregnancies in Finland. *Journal of epidemiology and community health*, 68(2), 159-164. doi:10.1136/jech-2013-202991
- Sema, A., Tesfaye, F., Belay, Y., Amsalu, B., Bekele, D., & Desalew, A. (2019).
 Associated factors with low birth weight in Dire Dawa City, eastern Ethiopia: A crosssectional study. *BioMed research*

international, 2019, 2965094-2965094. doi:10.1155/2019/2965094

- Smit, T., Garey, L., Langdon, K. J., Ditre, J. W., Rogers, A. H., Orr, M. F., & Zvolensky, M. J. (2019). Differential effect of sex on pain severity and smoking behavior and processes. *Addictive Behaviors*, 90, 229-235. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2018.11.</u> 007
- Sun, D., Zhou, T., Li, X., Ley, S. H., Heianza, Y., & Qi, L. (2019). Maternal smoking, genetic susceptibility, and birth-to-adulthood body weight. *International Journal of Obesity*. doi:10.1038/s41366-019-0509-7
- Takeuchi, A., Yorifuji, T., Nakamura, K., Tamai, K., Mori, S., Nakamura, M., . . . Doi, H. (2018).
 Catch-up growth and neurobehavioral development among full-term, small-forgestational-age children: A nationwide Japanese population-based study. *J Pediatr*, *192*, 41-46.e42. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.09.002
- Tettamanti, G., Ljung, R., Mathiesen, T., Schwartzbaum, J., & Feychting, M. (2016). Maternal smoking during pregnancy and the risk of childhood brain tumors: Results from a Swedish cohort study. *Cancer Epidemiology, 40*, 67-72. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2015.11.0</u> 09
- Torres, O. V., & O'Dell, L. E. (2016). Stress is a principal factor that promotes tobacco use in females. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, 65, 260-268. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2015.04.005</u>
- Tucktuck, M., Ghandour, R., & Abu-Rmeileh, N. M.
 E. (2017). Waterpipe and cigarette tobacco smoking among Palestinian university students: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*, 18(1), 1-1. doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4524-0
- United Nations International Children's Emergency. (2011). *The situation of Palestinian children in The Occupied Palestinian Territory, Jordan, Syria and Lebanon, 2010* (UNICEF/NYHQ20091589-/Batrawi). Retrieved from

https://www.unicef.org/oPt/PALESTINIAN_ SITAN-final.pdf

- United Nations Relief and Work Agency. (2018a). Balata Camp. Retrieved from <u>https://www.unrwa.org/where-we-work/west-bank/balata-camp</u>
- United Nations Relief and Work Agency. (2018b). United Nations Relief and Work Agency in the Near East,TULKARM CAMP. Retrieved from <u>https://www.unrwa.org/sites/default/files/tulk</u> arm refugee camp.pdf
- Vrijkotte, T. G. M., Krukziener, N., Hutten, B. A., Vollebregt, K. C., van Eijsden, M., & Twickler, M. B. (2012). Maternal lipid profile during early pregnancy and pregnancy complications and outcomes: The ABCD study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 97(11), 3917-3925. doi:10.1210/jc.2012-1295
- Wewers, M. E., Salsberry, P. J., Ferketich, A. K., Ahijevych, K. L., Hood, N. E., & Paskett, E. D. (2012). Risk factors for smoking in rural women. *Journal of Women's Health*, 21(5), 548-556.
- WHO, W. H. O. (2007). Sifting the evidence: Gender and tobacco control [Press release]. Retrieved from <u>https://www.who.int/tobacco/resources/publi</u> <u>cations/Sifting%20the%20evidence.pdf</u>
- Wong, S. L., Shields, M., Leatherdale, S., Malaison, E., & Hammond, D. (2012). Assessment of validity of self-reported smoking status. *Health Report*, 23(1), 47-53.

World Health Organization. (2007). Sifting the evidence: Gender and tobacco control [Press release]. Retrieved from <u>https://www.who.int/tobacco/resources/publi</u> cations/Sifting% 20the% 20evidence.pdf

- World Health Organization. (2009). Towards a strategy for cancer control in the Eastern Mediterranean Region. Retrieved from <u>https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/11658</u> <u>7</u>
- Yan, J., & Groothuis, P. A. (2015). Timing of prenatal smoking cessation or reduction and infant birth weight: evidence from the United Kingdom Millennium cohort study. *Matern Child Health J*, 19(3), 447-458. doi:10.1007/s10995-014-1516-x

Zheng, W., Suzuki, K., Tanaka, T., Kohama, M., Yamagata, Z., & Okinawa Child Health Study, G. (2016). Association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and low birthweight: Effects by maternal age. *PloS* one, 11(1), e0146241-e0146241. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146241

Copyright: ©2021 Damiri, B., Thabaleh, A, Salah, A., Othman, D., Ishtahyeh, L., Abughannam, W., Daas, R., & Safarini, O. Licensee CDS Press, Toronto, Canada. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/