## Lactobacillus cypricasei Lawson et al. 2001 is a later heterotypic synonym of Lactobacillus acidipiscis Tanasupawat et al. 2000

Sabri M. Naser,<sup>1,2</sup> Marc Vancanneyt,<sup>2</sup> Bart Hoste,<sup>2</sup> Cindy Snauwaert<sup>2</sup> and Jean Swings<sup>1,2</sup>

Laboratory of Microbiology $^1$  and BCCM $^{TM}$ /LMG Bacteria Collection $^2$ , Ghent University, K.L. Ledeganckstraat 35, Ghent 9000, Belgium

Correspondence
Sabri M. Naser
Sabri.Naser@Ugent.be

The applicability of a multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA)-based identification system for lactobacilli was evaluated. Two housekeeping genes that code for the phenylalanyl-tRNA synthase α-subunit (*pheS*) and RNA polymerase α-subunit (*rpoA*) were sequenced and analysed for members of the *Lactobacillus salivarius* species group. The type strains of *Lactobacillus acidipiscis* and *Lactobacillus cypricasei* were investigated further using a third gene that encodes the α-subunit of ATP synthase (*atpA*). The MLSA data revealed close relatedness between *L. acidipiscis* and *L. cypricasei*, with 99·8–100 % *pheS*, *rpoA* and *atpA* gene sequence similarities. Comparison of the 16S rRNA gene sequences of the type strains of the two species confirmed the close relatedness (99·8 % gene sequence similarity) between the two taxa. Similar phenotypes and high DNA–DNA binding values in the range of 84 to 97·5 % confirmed that *L. acidipiscis* and *L. cypricasei* are synonymous species. On the basis of the present study, it is proposed that *Lactobacillus cypricasei* is a later heterotypic synonym of *Lactobacillus acidipiscis*.

Lactobacillus acidipiscis was described by Tanasupawat et al. (2000) based on 11 strains isolated from fermented fish (plara and pla-chom) in Thailand. L. acidipiscis utilizes D-glucose homofermentatively and produces L-lactic acid from glucose without the production of gas. L. acidipiscis strains do not grow at pH 4·0 or 8·5 and grow in 10 % NaCl. Phylogenetic analysis based on 16S rRNA gene sequences showed that L. acidipiscis strains are positioned in a monophyletic cluster consisting of Lactobacillus salivarius, Lactobacillus aviarius, Lactobacillus ruminis, Lactobacillus agilis, Lactobacillus murinus, Lactobacillus animalis and Lactobacillus mali. The DNA–DNA relatedness of L. acidipiscis strains and other related Lactobacillus species was found to be in the

Abbreviation: MLSA, multilocus sequence analysis.

The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers for the partial *pheS* gene sequences for strains LMG 19820<sup>T</sup>, LMG 21592<sup>T</sup>, LMG 23135, CCUG 42959, CCUG 42960, CCUG 42962, LMG 9843<sup>T</sup>, LMG 14189<sup>T</sup>, LMG 22087<sup>T</sup>, LMG 21748<sup>T</sup>, LMG 9186<sup>T</sup>, LMG 10753<sup>T</sup>, LMG 10756<sup>T</sup>, LMG 21593<sup>T</sup>, LMG 9477<sup>T</sup>, LMG 6899<sup>T</sup> and LMG 6903<sup>T</sup> are AM087762, AM087687, AM168426–AM168429, AM087679, AM087760, AM087717, AM087740, AM087734, AM087737, AM087756, AM087708, AM087721, AM087746 and AM168425. Those for the *rpoA* partial gene sequences for strains LMG 19820<sup>T</sup>, LMG 21592<sup>T</sup>, LMG 23135, CCUG 42959, CCUG 42960, LMG 9186<sup>T</sup> and LMG 14189<sup>T</sup> are AM087849, AM087784, AM168431–AM168433, AM087831 and AM087801, respectively. Those for the *atpA* partial gene sequences for strains LMG 19820<sup>T</sup> and LMG 21592<sup>T</sup> are AM168424–AM168423, respectively.

range of 3.6 to 26.7 % (Tanasupawat et al., 2000). One year later, Lactobacillus cypricasei was described by Lawson et al. (2001) based on four strains isolated from Halloumi, a cheese produced in Cyprus. The strains were Gram-positive, non-spore-forming, facultatively anaerobic and catalase-and oxidase-negative. No growth was observed at 15 or 45 °C. Like L. acidipiscis, L. cypricasei strains formed a distinct branch within the L. salivarius species group, with L. salivarius and L. aviarius as the nearest neighbours. However, Lawson et al. (2001) did not include the related recognized species L. acidipiscis in comparisons with other species. In the present study, the relatedness between L. acidipiscis and L. cypricasei strains was investigated and revealed synonymy between the two taxa.

Two reference strains of *L. acidipiscis*, LMG 19820<sup>T</sup> and LMG 23135, and four reference strains of *L. cypricasei*, LMG 21592<sup>T</sup>, CCUG 42959, CCUG 42960 and CCUG 42962, were selected for further comparative study. *L. cypricasei* LMG 21592<sup>T</sup> was cultivated and maintained on de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe medium (MRS; Difco). All other strains studied were cultivated and maintained on MRS (Oxoid) medium and incubated anaerobically at 37 °C, unless otherwise indicated.


The use of protein-coding gene sequence data for the determination of genomic relatedness at the bacterial species and genus levels has recently been advocated because of its ability to provide higher taxonomic resolution, since 16S rRNA

gene sequence analysis may not be sufficiently specific to discriminate between closely related species (Chavagnat et al., 2002; Stackebrandt et al., 2002; Torriani et al., 2001; Ventura et al., 2003; Zeigler, 2003). Recently, the application of multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) using the genes that code for the α-subunit of bacterial phenylalanyl-tRNA synthase (pheS), the  $\alpha$ -subunit of RNA polymerase (ppoA) and the  $\alpha$ -subunit of ATP synthase (*atpA*) provided a robust system for the identification of Enterococcus species (Naser et al., 2005a, b). The MLSA-based identification system has proved to be valuable for the detection of synonymous species names within the genus Enterococcus (Naser et al., 2006b). In addition, relatedness between strains of Lactobacillus helveticus and Lactobacillus suntoryeus was initially evaluated using the same MLSA loci. Naser et al. (2006a) demonstrated that Lactobacillus suntoryeus is a later synonym of Lactobacillus helveticus. The pheS and rpoA genes were subsequently used for the analysis of lactobacilli of the L. salivarius species group, including the two strains of L. acidipiscis and the four reference strains of L. cypricasei. The type strains of *L. acidipiscis* and *L. cypricasei* were further investigated using the atpA gene. The primer sequences, amplification conditions and sequencing reactions were as described by Naser et al. (2005a, b). Raw sequence data were transferred to GeneBuilder (Applied Maths) where consensus sequences were determined. Consensus sequences were imported into BioNumerics 4.0 software (Applied Maths). The determined partial pheS and rpoA gene sequences (453 and 402 bp, respectively) were compared for the two L. acidipiscis strains (LMG 19820<sup>T</sup> and LMG 23135), four L. cypricasei strains (LMG 21592<sup>T</sup>, CCUG 42959, CCUG 42960 and CCUG 42962) and other members of the L. salivarius species group. Comparison of the sequences of the strains of L. cypricasei and L. acidipiscis revealed pheS and rpoA gene sequence similarities in the range of 99.8 to 100 %. The atpA partial gene sequences of L. cypricasei LMG 21592<sup>T</sup> (GenBank accession no. AM168423) and L. acidipiscis LMG 19820<sup>T</sup> (AM168424) were also compared (976 bp) and showed high relatedness. Strains of the

two species had a maximum of 78% pheS gene sequence similarity with L. mali and L. murinus, 82% rpoA gene sequence similarity with L. agilis and 80% atpA gene sequence similarity with L. murinus (Fig. 1). Consequently, the MLSA data indicated that L. acidipiscis and L. cypricasei might represent a single species.

The phylogenetic relatedness between *L. acidipiscis* FS60-1<sup>T</sup> and *L. cypricasei* CCUG 42961<sup>T</sup> was investigated by comparing the available 16S rRNA gene sequences (*L. acidipiscis* GenBank accession no. AB023836, 1406 bp; *L. cypricasei* GenBank accession no. AJ251560, 1456 bp). The latter sequences and those of related species were retrieved from GenBank and aligned. A phylogenetic tree was constructed by the neighbour-joining method using BioNumerics software, version 4.0 (Applied Maths). Unknown bases were discarded for the analyses. Comparison of the sequences of the type strains of *L. cypricasei* and *L. acidipiscis* revealed 99·8 % 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity.

In the original description of L. cypricasei, the authors did not determine the DNA G+C content. In the present study, we investigated this characteristic for the type strain of L. cypricasei. Cells were cultivated in MRS broth at 37 °C for 24 h. DNA was extracted from 0.5-0.75 g (wet weight) by using the protocol described by Marmur (1961) with the following modifications: (i) cells were suspended overnight in Tris/HCl buffer that contained lysozyme (8 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>) before the addition of SDS and (ii) lysed cells were treated with proteinase K (360 mg  $l^{-1}$ ; Merck) at 37 °C for 2 h. For determination of the DNA G+C content, DNA was degraded enzymically into nucleosides as described by Mesbah et al. (1989). The nucleoside mixture was then separated by HPLC using a SymmetryShield RP8 column (Waters) maintained at 37 °C. The solvent was 0.02 M NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (pH 4·0) with 1·5 % acetonitrile. Non-methylated  $\lambda$ -phage DNA (Sigma) was used as the calibration reference. The DNA G+C content of L. cypricasei LMG  $21592^{\mathrm{T}}$  was 40·1 mol%. In comparison, the DNA G+C content of *L. acidipiscis* LMG 19820<sup>T</sup> was 38·7 mol%.



**Fig. 1.** Neighbour-joining tree based on the partial *pheS* gene sequences of *L. cypricasei* and *L. acidipiscis* strains. *Carnobacterium maltaromaticum* LMG 6903<sup>T</sup> was included as an outgroup. Bootstrap percentages (≥ 50%) after 500 simulations are shown. Bar, 2% sequence divergence.

Finally, DNA-DNA hybridizations were performed between L. cypricasei strains LMG  $21592^{T}$  and CCUG 42960 and L. acidipiscis strains LMG 19820<sup>T</sup> and LMG 23135. Genomic DNA was prepared according to the protocol of Pitcher et al. (1989) with the following modifications: the washed cell pellet was resuspended and lysed in a buffer (10 mM Tris/ HCl, 100 mM EDTA, pH 8·0) that contained RNase (200 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>; Sigma), mutanolysin (100 U ml<sup>-1</sup>; Sigma) and lysozyme (25 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>; SERVA) for 1 h at 37 °C. The microplate method was used as described by Ezaki et al. (1989) and Goris et al. (1998) using a HTS7000 Bio Assay Reader (Perkin Elmer) for the fluorescence measurements. Biotinylated DNA was hybridized with unlabelled ssDNA, which was bound non-covalently to microplate wells. Hybridizations were performed at 36 °C in hybridization mixture (2  $\times$  SSC, 5  $\times$  Denhardt's solution, 2.5 % dextran sulfate, 50 % formamide, 100 µg denatured salmon sperm DNA ml<sup>-1</sup>, 1250 ng biotinylated probe DNA ml<sup>-1</sup>). Reciprocal reactions (e.g.  $A \times B$  and  $B \times A$ ) were performed. The DNA-DNA binding values reported were the mean values of a minimum of four hybridization experiments, including the reciprocal reactions. L. acidipiscis strains LMG 19820<sup>T</sup> and LMG 23135 and L. cypricasei strains LMG 21592<sup>T</sup> and CCUG 42960 showed high DNA-DNA binding values in the range of 84 to 97.5 %, indicating clearly that the two taxa represent the same species. A DNA-DNA hybridization value of 93 % was found between the type strains of the two species.

On the basis of the evidence presented, it is concluded that *Lactobacillus cypricasei* is a later heterotypic synonym of *Lactobacillus acidipiscis*. It is proposed that the two species be united under the same name. As a rule of priority (Rules 38 and 42 of the Bacteriological Code; Lapage *et al.*, 1992), the name *Lactobacillus acidipiscis* should be retained and strains of *Lactobacillus cypricasei* should be reclassified as such. The description of *L. acidipiscis* remains essentially the same except for some strain-dependent reactions, such as the production of acid from mannitol and D-ribose and the production of arginine dihydrolase.

## **Acknowledgements**

S. M. N. acknowledges a PhD scholarship from the Ministry of Education and Higher Education. J. S. acknowledges grants from of the Fund for Scientific Research (FWO), Belgium.

## References

- Chavagnat, F., Haueter, M., Jimeno, J. & Casey, M. G. (2002). Comparison of partial *tuf* gene sequences for the identification of lactobacilli. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 217, 177–183.
- **Ezaki, T., Hashimoto, Y. & Yabuuchi, E. (1989).** Fluorometric deoxyribonucleic acid-deoxyribonucleic acid hybridization in microdilution wells as an alternative to membrane filter hybridization in which radioisotopes are used to determine genetic relatedness among bacterial strains. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **39**, 224–229.

- Goris, J., Suzuki, K., De Vos, P., Nakase, T. & Kersters, K. (1998). Evaluation of a microplate DNA-DNA hybridization method compared with the initial renaturation method. *Can J Microbiol* 44, 1148–1153.
- Lapage, S. P., Sneath, P. H. A., Lessel, E. F., Skerman, V. B. D., Seeliger, H. P. R. & Clark, W. A. (editors) (1992). *International Code of Nomenclature of Bacteria (1990 Revision)*. *Bacteriological Code*. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology.
- Lawson, P. A., Papademas, P., Wacher, C., Falsen, E., Robinson, R. & Collins, M. D. (2001). *Lactobacillus cypricasei* sp. nov., isolated from Halloumi cheese. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 51, 45–49.
- **Marmur**, J. (1961). A procedure for the isolation of deoxyribonucleic acid from microorganisms. *J Mol Biol* 3, 208–218.
- **Mesbah, M., Premachandran, U. & Whitman, W. B. (1989).** Precise measurement of the G+C content of deoxyribonucleic acid by high-performance liquid chromatography. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **39**, 159–167.
- Naser, S., Thompson, F. L., Hoste, B., Gevers, D., Vandemeulebroecke, K., Cleenwerck, I., Thompson, C. C., Vancanneyt, M. & Swings, J. (2005a). Phylogeny and identification of enterococci using *atpA* gene sequence analysis. *J Clin Microbiol* 43, 2224–2230.
- Naser, S. M., Thompson, F. L., Hoste, B., Gevers, D., Dawyndt, P., Vancanneyt, M. & Swings, J. (2005b). Application of multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) for rapid identification of *Enterococcus* species based on *rpoA* and *pheS* genes. *Microbiology* 151, 2141–2150.
- Naser, S. M., Hagen, K. E., Vancanneyt, M., Cleenwerck, I., Swings, J. & Tompkins, T. A. (2006a). *Lactobacillus suntoryeus* Cachat and Priest 2005 is a later synonym of *Lactobacillus helveticus* (Orla-Jensen 1919) Bergey *et al.* 1925 (Approved Lists 1980). *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 56, 355–360.
- Naser, S. M., Vancanneyt, M., Hoste, B., Snauwaert, C., Vandemeulebroecke, K. & Swings, J. (2006b). Reclassification of *Enterococcus flavescens* Pompei *et al.* 1992 as a later synonym of *Enterococcus casseliflavus* (*ex* Vaughan *et al.* 1979) Collins *et al.* 1984, and *Enterococcus saccharominimus* Vancanneyt *et al.* 2004 as a later synonym of *Enterococcus italicus* Fortina *et al.* 2004. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 56, 413–416.
- **Pitcher, D. G., Saunders, N. A. & Owen, R. G. (1989).** Rapid extraction of bacterial genomic DNA with guanidium thiocyanate. *Lett Appl Microbiol* **8**, 151–156.
- **Stackebrandt, E., Frederiksen, W., Garrity, G. M. & 10 other authors (2002).** Report of the ad hoc committee for the re-evaluation of the species definition in bacteriology. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **52**, 1043–1047.
- Tanasupawat, S., Shida, O., Okada, S. & Komagata, K. (2000). *Lactobacillus acidipiscis* sp. nov. and *Weissella thailandensis* sp. nov., isolated from fermented fish in Thailand. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **50**, 1479–1485.
- **Torriani, S., Felis, G. E. & Dellaglio, F. (2001).** Differentiation of *Lactobacillus plantarum, L. pentosus*, and *L. paraplantarum* by *recA* gene sequence analysis and multiplex PCR assay with *recA* genederived primers. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **67**, 3450–3454.
- Ventura, M., Canchaya, C., Meylan, V., Klaenhammer, T. R. & Zink, R. (2003). Analysis, characterization, and loci of the tuf genes in *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* species and their direct application for species identification. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 69, 6908–6922.
- **Zeigler, D. R. (2003).** Gene sequences useful for predicting relatedness of whole genomes in bacteria. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **53**, 1893–1900.

http://ijs.sgmjournals.org